IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Montgomery Blair Sibley,

Case No.: 6:19-cv-06517-FPG

Plaintiff,

SIBLEY'S MOTION TO AMEND FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT

VS.

Chauncey J. Watches, et al.,

Defendants	5.
------------	----

Plaintiff, Montgomery Blair Sibley ("Sibley"), pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure, Rules 15 and this Court's Order of August 22, 2022 [D.E. #93] moves to amend the

Fourth Amended Verified Complaint. Allowing Sibley to file the Proposed Fifth Amended

Verified Complaint for Declaratory Relief, Preliminary and Permanent Injunction, Damages and

Other Equitable Relief would serve justice and promote judicial efficiency. Further, there would

be no substantial or undue prejudice, bad faith, undue delay, or futility.

The Fifth Amended Complaint seeks to: (i) restate legal claims based upon the Second Amendment clarification contained in *New York State Rifle & Pistol Assn., Inc. v. Bruen*, No. 20-843 (June 23, 2022), (ii) add new claims given the July 1, 2022, enactment of the ironically-named "Concealed Carry Improvement Act" ("CCIA"), and (iii) add two new sets of Defendants given the Orders entered by the Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department and the Judges of the New York Court of Appeals on Sibley's Pistol Permit Application.

I. FIRST & SECOND CLAIMS

In the First and Second Claims to the Proposed Fifth Amended Complaint, an unsigned copy of which is attached hereto pursuant to Local Rule 15(a), Sibley seeks Declaratory Relief in his claims against Defendant Watches given the holding in *Bruen* which radically changes the law regarding New York's "may issue" handgun licencing schemes. In particular, the First Claim challenges the "good moral character" requirement of New York Penal Law, Section 400.00(1)(b). Likewise, the Second Claim challenges the Defendant Watches' refusal to: (i) disclose the Sheriff's report on Sibley and (ii) permit Sibley's requested documentary discovery and subpoenas.

II. THIRD CLAIM

In the Third Claim, Sibley seeks Declaratory and Injunctive Relief by stating a claim against Defendants Governor Kathleen Hochul, Attorney Letitia James and New York State Police Superintendent Kevin P. Bruen given the holding of the Supreme Court in *Bruen*, claiming that New York Penal Law §265.01(1) & (2) coupled with the procedures found at New York Penal Law §400.00 *et seq.* are unconstitutional as New York does not have a "compelling governmental interest" to criminalize Sibley's handgun and cane sword possession both in his home and in public which, coupled with New York's permitting scheme which is calculated toward Second Amendment abusive ends, renders that "right" abused by §265.01(1) & (2) and §400.00 meaningless.

III. FOURTH & FIFTH CLAIMS

In the Fourth Claim, Sibley again seeks Declaratory and Injunctive relief against

Defendants Governor Kathleen Hochul, Attorney Letitia James and New York State Police

Superintendent Kevin P. Bruen challenged the done-in-one-day enactment of the CCIA with its

far-reaching implications to New Yorker's right to self-defense alleging it violated the New York

Constitution, Article III, §14 requirements of the so called "message of necessity" and notice of
the final form of the Bill to the members and the U.S. Constitution, Article IV, §4's requirement
of a Republican form of Government in New York.

In the Fifth Claim, Sibley again seeks Declaratory and Injunctive relief against

Defendants Governor Kathleen Hochul, Attorney Letitia James and New York State Police

Superintendent Kevin P. Bruen that the CCIA's: (i) "good moral character" requirement, (ii)

Personal and Social Media Disclosure requirement, (iii) Character References requirement, (iv)

Vague and Subjective Standards, (v) Sensitive Location requirements and (vi) Training

requirements, singularity and collectively violate Sibley's fundamental and federal constitutional

rights.

IV. SIXTH & SEVENTH CLAIMS

In the Sixth Claim, Sibley sues Defendants Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph who are the Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department who entered the Memorandum and Order of May 12, 2021, which affirmed Defendant Watches' denial to Sibley of his pistol permit in which they refused to acknowledge or address Sibley's procedural due process arguments.

In the Seventh Claim, Sibley sues Defendants Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman who are the Judges of the New York Court of Appeals who entered the **December 16, 2021**, Order in which these Defendants refused to hear Sibley's appeal claiming there were no "substantial constitutional" issues vesting subject matter jurisdiction in the Court of Appeals.

V. Conclusion

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 15(a)(2) states: "Other Amendments. In all other cases, a party may amend its pleading only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The court should freely give leave when justice so requires." Moreover, FRCP, Rule 15(d) states: "Supplemental Pleadings. On motion and reasonable notice, the court may, on just terms, permit a party to serve a supplemental pleading setting out any transaction, occurrence, or event that happened after the date of the pleading to be supplemented. The court may permit supplementation even though the original pleading is defective in stating a claim or defense. The court may order that the opposing party plead to the supplemental pleading within a specified time."

Here, Sibley must be permitted to amend the Fourth Amended Complaint to state claims as detailed above due to: (i) the holding in *Bruen*, (ii) the enactment and content of the CCIA, and (iii) the Appellate and Court of Appeals decisions on Sibley's pistol permit application, all four of which represent a significant occurrence <u>after</u> the date of the filing of the Fourth Amended Complaint Sibley seeks to amend.

To do otherwise, and thus force Sibley to refile against these defendants, would be manifestly <u>unjust</u> for it would force Sibley back to square one and continue the improper criminalization of his fundamental right to self defense with a handgun at home and abroad.

Moreover, a sense of urgency compels this Court to allow Sibley to proceed with his new claims. Expressly recognized by the Second Circuit in the Remand order at footnote #1 was the recently enacted CCIA which was a hastily and ill-advised response to *Bruen* and will become effective on **September 1**, 2022. Sibley seeks to challenge that gun-licensing scheme (which Defendant Watches raised in the Second Circuit and will surely raise here arguing that Sibley's claims are now moot) as, *inter alia*,

As such, Sibley's claim must be permitted to be timely heard that there is no proper New York State judicial review of an administrative officer's reliance upon undisclosed information when deciding to terminate Sibley's Second Amendment rights upon secret evidence.

Noteworthy in this regard is Justice Thomas's statement in *Bruen*: "That said, because any permitting scheme can be put toward abusive ends, we do not rule out constitutional challenges to shall-issue regimes where, for example, lengthy wait times in processing license applications or exorbitant fees deny ordinary citizens their right to public carry." (*Bruen*, f/n #9). Here, Sibley seeks to raise the "abusive ends" of New York's handgun issue regime both as presently configured and to the September 1, 2022, even more abusive regime on the horizon.

Accordingly, Sibley seeks leave to amend the Fourth Amended Complaint to add parties and new theories based upon the change in circumstances occasioned by the *Bruen* decision, New York's enactment of the CCIA and the subsequent proceedings before the Fourth Department and Court of Appeals.

WHEREFORE, Sibley respectfully asks that the Court grant leave to file the attached Proposed Fifth Amended Complaint.

Montgomery Blair Sibley Plaintiff P.O. Box 341 Odessa, N.Y. 14869 (607) 301-0967 montybsibley@gmail.com

By:

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing will be sent via the Court's CM/ECF filing system when docketed by the Clerk to Alexandria Twinwm, Counsel for Defendant Watches, NYS Office of the Attorney General, The Capitol, Albany, NY 12224.

By:

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Montgomery Blair Sibley,

Plaintiff.

Case No.: 19-CV-6517

PROPOSED

VS.

Chauncey J. Watches, Kathleen Hochul, Letitia James, Kevin P. Bruen, Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph, Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman, FIFTH AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION, DAMAGES AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
ADVISORY JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

Defendants.

Plaintiff, Montgomery Blair Sibley ("Sibley"), sues Defendants Chauncey J. Watches, Kathleen Hochul, Letitia James, Kevin P. Bruen, Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph, Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman, and, additionally pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746, states that the factual matters stated herein are true under penalty of perjury, alleging as follows:

Introduction

By this suit, Sibley seeks:

• <u>First Claim</u>: A Declaratory Judgment declaring that Section 400.00(1)(b)'s "good moral character" requirement was unconstitutional as applied to Sibley as it required the <u>prohibited</u>: (i) "appraisal of facts", (ii) "exercise of judgment", to (iii) result in "the formation of an opinion";

- <u>Second Claim</u>: A Declaratory Judgment declaring that Section 400.00 is unconstitutional as interpreted and applied by Defendant Watches to Sibley as it violated due process guarantees;
- Third Claim: A Declaratory Judgment that New York Penal Law §265.01(1) & (2) coupled with the procedures found at New York Penal Law §400.00 are unconstitutional as New York does not have a "compelling governmental interest" to criminalize Sibley's handgun and cane sword possession both in his home and in public;
- Fourth Claim: A Declaratory Judgment that the enactment of the "Concealed Carry Improvement Act" ("CCIA") violated New York Constitution, Article III, §14 and the U.S. Constitution, Article IV, §4;
- <u>Fifth Claim</u>: A Declaratory Judgment that the recently enacted CCIA is unconstitutional and violates the First, Second, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and an order preliminarily and permanently enjoining the recently enacted, ironically-named CCIA;
- <u>Sixth Claim</u>: A Declaratory Judgment that Defendants Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph violated Sibley's Constitutional rights by refusing to decide the issues brought before them thus entitling Sibley to nominal, compensatory and punitive damages as a result;
- <u>Seventh Claim</u>: A Declaratory Judgment that Defendants Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman violated Sibley's Constitutional rights by improperly limiting the scope of their subject matter jurisdiction thus entitling Sibley to nominal, compensatory and punitive damages as a result.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S. Code §1331, §2201, §2202 and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 2. Venue in this court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S. Code §1391 as a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred in Steuben County, New York.

PARTIES

- 3. Sibley, is *sui generis* and a "natural born Citizen" of the United States as he was born in Rochester, New York, the child of two United States citizens, Harper Sibley, Jr. and Beatrice Blair Sibley and has continuously resided in the United States since his birth. Sibley at all times relevant herein resided in the city of Corning, Steuben County, New York. Sibley:
 - A. Is twenty-one years of age or older (N.Y. Penal Law §400.00(1)(a));
 - B. Has not been convicted anywhere of a felony or a serious offense or is not the subject of an outstanding warrant of arrest issued upon the alleged commission of a felony or serious offense (N.Y. Penal Law §400.00(1)(c));
 - C. Is not a fugitive from justice (N.Y. Penal Law §400.00(1)(d));
 - D. Is not an unlawful user of or addicted to any controlled substance as defined in section 21 U.S.C. §802 (N.Y. Penal Law §400.00(1)(e));
 - E. Is a U.S. Citizen who has not renounced his citizenship nor served in the Armed Forces (N.Y. Penal Law §400.00(1)(f),(g) & (h));
 - F. Has never suffered any mental illness (N.Y. Penal Law §400.00(1)(i));
 - G. Has not been involuntarily committed to a facility under the jurisdiction of an office of the department of mental hygiene nor has been civilly confined in a secure treatment facility (N.Y. Penal Law §400.00(1)(j));
 - H. Has not had a license revoked or who is not under a suspension or ineligibility order issued pursuant to the provisions of section 530.14 of the Criminal Procedure Law or section eight hundred forty-two-a of the Family Court Act (N.Y. Penal Law §400.00(1)(k));
 - I. Has not had a guardian appointed for him pursuant to any provision of state law, based on a determination that as a result of marked subnormal intelligence, mental illness, incapacity, condition or disease, he or she lacks the mental capacity to contract or manage his or her own affairs (N.Y. Penal Law §400.00(1)(m)).
 - 4. Defendant Chauncey J. Watches: (i) is a N.Y. Penal Law §265.00(10)

Pistol/Revolver Licensing Officer for Steuben County, New York and at all times relevant was

acting under color of state law, (ii) is sued solely in his official capacity and (iii) whose public office address is: 3 E. Pulteney Square, Bath, Steuben County, N.Y. 14810.

- 5. Defendant Her Excellency Kathleen Hochul: (i) is the Governor of New York
 State and at all times relevant was acting under color of state law, (ii) is sued solely in her official
 capacity. and (iii) whose public office address is: NYS State Capitol Building Albany, NY
 12224.
- 6. Defendant Letitia James: (i) is the Attorney General of the State of New York and at all times relevant was acting under color of state law, (ii) is sued solely in her official capacity and (iii) whose public office address is: NYS Office of the Attorney General, The Capitol, Albany, NY 12224.
- 7. Defendant Kevin P. Bruen: (i) is the Superintendent of the New York State Police and at all times relevant was acting under color of state law, (ii) is sued solely in his official capacity as Superintendent of the New York State Police, (iii) whose public office address is New York State Police, 1220 Washington Ave., Albany, New York 12226.
- 8. Defendants Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph ("Fourth Department Defendants"): (i) are Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department and at all times relevant were acting under color of state law, (ii) are sued both personally and in their official capacities and (iii) whose public office address is 50 East Avenue, Suite 200, Rochester, New York 14604.
- 9. Defendants Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson,
 Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman ("Court of Appeal Defendants"): (i)
 are Judges of the New York Court of Appeals and at all times relevant were acting under color of

state law, (ii) are sued both personally and in their official capacities and (iii) whose public office address is: 20 Eagle Street, Albany, New York 12207.

Previous Lawsuits

10. With the exception of a FOIL lawsuit against Defendant Licencing Officer

Watches dismissed in Steuben County Supreme Court, there are no previous lawsuits between
the instant parties or regarding the same facts involved in this case.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Since the early 1970s, Sibley has owned and possessed handguns receiving in the 1980s licenses to carry concealed such handguns in both New York and Florida. In or about 2009, Sibley moved to the District of Columbia where he continued to possess his handguns in his residence. Likewise, Sibley has possessed, in his home and when in public, since the early 1970s, a cane sword having concealed within it a blade that may be used as a sword or stiletto for self-defense.
- 12. In November 2017, Sibley relocated to Corning, New York, transporting his handguns to his new residence. Additionally, in November 2017, Sibley was licensed by the N.Y. State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Fish, Wildlife and Marine Resources, Special Licenses Unit as a Nuisance Wildlife Control Operator, and issued License #2799. Sibley continues to hold that Nuisance Wildlife Control Operator License to this day.
- 13. In **April 2018**, Sibley obtained his N.Y. Hunter Education Certificate of Qualification.
- 14. On **July 18, 2018**, Sibley filed his State of New York Pistol/Revolver License Application ("Application") with the Clerk of Steuben County. An un-executed copy of the

redacted-for-privacy Application and Receipt is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". Notably, Sibley, though not required, disclosed the make, model and serial number of the two handguns in his possession on the Application. Sibley's Application was referred to the Defendant Licensing Officer for processing. The Application included Sibley's fingerprints which triggered a series of background checks with the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the New York State Department of Mental Hygiene which, upon information and belief, all came back negative for any criminal or mental health history.

- 15. Five months later, on **December 28, 2018**, Sibley was interviewed in person by Steuben County Deputy Sheriff McCoy regarding his Application. A few days later, Deputy McCoy advised Sibley by telephone that he must either: (i) surrender his handguns to the Sheriff or a licensed firearms dealer or (ii) remove the handguns from New York pending determination of his Application. Deputy McCoy further advised that Sibley's possession of his handguns in his residence was a crime pursuant to N.Y. Penal Law §265 *et seq*. Accordingly, Sibley removed his handguns and cane sword from New York.
- 16. On or about March 8, 2019, Sibley legally purchased a shotgun from a Federal Firearms Licensee in New York after passing the requisite Federal NICS background check.

 That shotgun remains in Sibley's possession in his home.
- 17. On or about **May 16, 2019**, the Defendant Watches *ex parte* contacted Sibley's employer by telephone seeking information about Sibley's activities as a New York Nuisance Wildlife Control Operator.

- 18. On May 29, 2019 three hundred fifteen (315) days or 10 ½ months after Sibley filed his application the Defendant Watches sent Sibley a letter denying Sibley a Pistol/Revolver License. In that letter, the Defendant Watches stated in pertinent part:
 - That the Defendant Watches had reviewed Sibley's application and "the investigation submitted by the Steuben County Sheriff's Department";
 - "The basis for the denial results from concerns about your being sufficiently responsible to possess and care for a pistol";
 - "[T]he Court is concerned that your history demonstrates that you place your own interest above the interests of society";
 - "You do have the right to request a hearing with regard to the denial of your application."

A copy of the Defendant Watches's May 29, 2019, letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "B".

- 19. On **June 14, 2019**, Sibley responded to the **May 29, 2019**, letter from the Defendant Watches stating in sum and substance:
 - That he was requesting a hearing on the denial;
 - Requesting copies of all written investigation reports and/or objections from any police authority or person reported to the Defendant Watches;
 - Requesting the sum and substance of any orally-communicated information received by the Defendant Watches regarding Sibley's application;
 - Requesting copies of any legal or educational authorities or State Administrative Procedure Act, §102(14) Guidance Documents used to process Sibley's application; and
 - Advising that within thirty (30) days of receiving the above-information, Sibley would advise on the time needed to gather evidence to respond at the requested hearing.

A copy of Sibley's June 14, 2019, letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "C".

20. In response, on August 15, 2019, the Defendant Watches wrote Sibley stating:

- "Pursuant to your request I have scheduled a hearing on July 31, 2019 at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom C at the Steuben County Courthouse, 3 E. Pulteney Square, Bath, New York";
- "You should be prepared to proceed on that date with any evidence which you
 intend to present to the Court including testimony from you or any other witness
 concerning your application"; and
- "I have reviewed your requests for information and documents and find them to be without legal basis and therefore they are denied."

A copy of the Defendant Watches's June 25, 2019, letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "D".

- 21. On October 25, 2019, the Defendant Watches wrote Sibley stating: "As you know your concealed pistol permit application was denied subject to an evidentiary hearing. In preparation for your January 10, 2020 hearing, please be advised that the court will address several relevant issues" and then went on to list seven (7) areas for "discussion. A copy of the Defendant Watches's October 25, 2019, letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "E".
- On November 12, 2019, Sibley responded to the October 25, 2019, letter of the Defendant Watches In his letter, Sibley objected to the lack of requisite "Notice" and then requested the documents that had been reviewed by the Court in order to arrive at its seven (7) area for "discussion". A copy of Sibley's November 12, 2019, letter is attached hereto as Exhibit "F". To date, Defendant Watches has <u>not</u> responded to Sibley's November 12, 2019, letter.
- 23. On **January 10, 2020**, an evidentiary hearing was held before Defendant Watches at which Defendant Watches refused to recuse himself and then made factual statements on the record but did not allow cross-examination of him by Sibley.
- 24. On March 9, 2020, Defendant Watches issued his Decision denying to Sibley a pistol license. A copy of the March 9, 2020, Decision is attached hereto as Exhibit "G". In that

Decision, Defendant Watches rested his <u>sole</u> reason for denying Sibley's Application that he "has failed to demonstrate his good moral character."

- 25. On or about March 20, 2020, Sibley filed his Article 78 "Notice of Petition" with the Supreme Court of New York, Fourth Department. Among the relief sought in that Petition was:
 - A. Declaring that Defendant Watches' March 9, 2020, Decision denying to Sibley a pistol license was: (i) a result of Defendant Watches' failure to perform a duty enjoined upon him by law; (ii) a result of Defendant Watches proceeding without or in excess of jurisdiction; (iii) a determination made in violation of lawful procedure; (iv) affected by an error of law; (v) arbitrary and capricious; (vi) an abuse of discretion, and/or (vii) unsupported by substantial evidence;
 - B. Declaring that New York's Pistol License law violates Federal and New York State constitutional constraints;
 - C. Annulling and vacating the March 9, 2020, Decision denying to Sibley a Pistol License;
 - D. Directing forthwith that Defendant Watches grant unconditionally Sibley's Pistol License Application.
- 26. On or about May 7, 2021, the Fourth Department Defendants entered their Memorandum and Order on Sibley's Petition <u>ignoring</u> Sibley's due process claim that Defendant Watches received information about Sibley he obtained *ex parte* from the Steuben County Sheriff's Department which Defendant Watches: (i) <u>relied</u> upon in denying Sibley's Application and (ii) <u>refused</u> to reveal to Sibley. A copy of that Memorandum and Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "H". Sibley raised that refusal in his Motion for Rehearing which the Fourth Department Defendants denied without the requested *ratio decidendi* on **July 9, 2021**. A copy of the **July 9, 2021**, Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "I".
 - 27. On July 14, 2021, Sibley filed his Notice of Appeal to the New York Court of

Appeals. On December 16, 2021, the Court of Appeal Defendants entered their Order dismissing Sibley's appeal stating: "no substantial constitutional question is directly involved" notwithstanding that the Fourth Department Defendants in their Memorandum and Order of May 7, 2021, expressly held:

- "With respect to petitioner's challenge to the constitutionality of the pistol licensing application statutes . . .";
- "... the alleged procedural errors that he raises in the petition did not deprive him of his right to due process during the pistol license application review process";
- "We further conclude that petitioner was not denied due process when respondent communicated with petitioner's employer and the Sheriff's Office . . .";
- "We also reject petitioner's contention that he was denied due process because respondent failed to disclose the substance of his conversation with petitioner's employer";
- "There is **no violation of due process** where, as here, petitioner was given notice of the information respondent obtained from the employer, and was given the chance to address that information at the hearing";
- "We further reject petitioner's contention that the failure to hold a hearing before respondent made his initial determination to deny the application violated petitioner's right to due process";
- "We further reject petitioner's contention that the failure to hold a hearing before respondent made his initial determination to deny the application violated petitioner's right to due process";
- "We further reject petitioner's contention that he was **deprived of due process** based on the length of time it took to process the application";
- "Thus, because respondent is not responsible for the delay in the determination of petitioner's application, he did not deprive petitioner of due process";
- "Thus, "petitioner's contention[s] that[, inter alia,] certain aspects of the licensing eligibility requirements of Penal Law § 400.00 (1) unconstitutionally infringe upon his right to bear arms under the Second Amendment" are not properly before us ".

(Emphasis added). A copy of the Court of Appeals Defendants' December 16, 2021, Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "J". Patently, the Fourth Department Defendant's May 7, 2021, Memorandum and Order "directly involved the construction of the constitution of the state or of the United States" and thus the Court of Appeals Defendants had subject-matter jurisdiction. On December 23, 2021, Sibley filed his Motion for Reargument. On April 28, 2022, the Court of Appeals Defendants entered their Order denying Sibley's Motion for Reargument. A copy of the April 28, 2022, Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "K".

- 28. On **July 1, 2022**, the New York Assembly and Senate, after putatively receiving a New York Constitution, Article III, §14 required "message of necessity" from Defendant Hochul which, after a diligent search, is not available on-line took the extraordinary action of passing SB51001, the so-called "Concealed Carry Improvement Act" ("CCIA"). On **July 1, 2022**, the New York Assembly and Senate took the following action:
 - Referred the CCIA (S51001)To Rules;
 - Ordered To Third Reading Cal.1;;
 - Passed Senate;
 - Delivered To Assembly;
 - Referred To Codes;
 - Substituted For A41001;
 - Passed Assembly;
 - Delivered To and Signed By Defendant Governor Hochul.

Upon information and belief, and after an opportunity for discovery, Sibley will establish that: (i) the message-of-necessity failed to contain objective facts which necessitated an immediate vote upon the CCIA nor (ii) that the CCIA was <u>not</u> meaningfully "upon the desks of the members in final form, not necessarily printed, before its final passage". A copy of the CCIA is attached hereto as Exhibit "L".

29. The CCIA has now introduced a number of unprecedented and blatantly

unconstitutional impediments to Sibley's exercise of his constitutional right to armed self-defense both in and outside his home. Patently, the CCIA is New York's attempt to flout the Supreme Court's holding in *New York State Rifle & Pistol Assn., Inc. v. Bruen*, No. 20-843 (June 23, 2022). Instead of complying with that decision, the Assembly and Senate, with the Governor's approval, have promulgated several clearly unconstitutional new infringements of the fundamental right to keep and bear arms. In particular:

- A. Good Moral Character: The CCIA removed the now-unconstitutional "proper cause" requirement from Section 400.00 in the prior statute. In its place, the CCIA defines the malleable and thus <u>prohibited</u> subjective term "good moral character" to now mean "having the essential character, temperament and judgment necessary to be entrusted with a weapon and to use it only in a manner that does not endanger oneself or others . . . ".
- B. Personal and Social Media Disclosures: In addition to requiring an applicant to demonstrate "good moral character," the CCIA imposes a litany of demands on those seeking a New York carry permit, including a requirement that the applicant "shall meet in person with the licensing officer for an interview and shall, in addition to any other information or forms required by the license application submit to the licensing officer the following information:
 - i. Names and contact information for the applicant's current spouse, or domestic partner, any other adults residing in the applicant's home, including any adult children of the applicant, and whether or not there are minors residing, full time or part time, in the applicant's home;
 - ii. Names and contact information of no less than four character references who can attest to the applicant's good moral character and that such applicant has not engaged in any acts, or made any statements that suggest they are likely to engage in conduct that would result in harm to themselves or others;
 - iii. Certification of completion of the training;

- iv. A list of former and current social media accounts of the applicant from the past three years to confirm the information regarding the applicants [sic] character and conduct; and
- v. Such other information required by the licensing officer that is reasonably necessary and related to the review of the licensing application.
- C. <u>Character References</u>: Applicants must provide four character references to the government as a condition of exercising Second Amendment rights. The CCIA demands that "character references" attest that the applicant "has not engaged in any acts, or made any statements that suggest they are likely to engage in conduct that would result in harm to themselves or others."
- E. <u>Sensitive Locations</u>. The CCIA next creates a new Section 265.01-e entitled "Criminal Possession of a firearm, rifle or shotgun in a sensitive location." The list of twenty (20) "sensitive locations" contained in this section is extensive, and serves to bar the carrying of firearms in most public places. Significantly, unlawful carry in any of these 20 categories of "sensitive locations" is a Class E Felony, conviction of which leads to the loss of Second Amendment rights. Although some of these "sensitive locations" must be marked conspicuously with signage, many are not required to be so marked, leaving carry license holders in peril of unintentionally violating the statute in a place they have no idea constitutes a "sensitive location".

In addition to these numerous "sensitive locations," the CCIA also criminalizes the carry of firearms in what it calls "a restricted location," defined in "§ 265.01-d Criminal possession of a weapon in a restricted location" which states: "A person is guilty of criminal possession of a weapon in a restricted location when such person possesses a firearm, rifle, or shotgun and enters

into or remains on or in private property where such person knows or reasonably should know that the owner or lessee of such property has not permitted such possession by clear and conspicuous signage indicating that the carrying of firearms, rifles, or shotguns on their property is permitted or has otherwise given express consent. Violation of this prohibition, like the prohibition on "sensitive locations," is a "class E felony" conviction of which leads to the loss of Second Amendment rights for life. In other words, the CCIA makes all private property in New York state a "restricted location" by default, with a property owner (such as a storekeeper) required to "conspicuously" post signage indicating that concealed carry is allowed.

requirements to the demands placed on a carry license applicant, which will disproportionately affect individuals who cannot devote the new "minimum of sixteen hours of in-person live curriculum" that New York demands all handgun license applicants acquire. This course also requires two hours of live-fire training, apparently resulting in a total training demand of 18 hours. Prior to this new law, only a four-hour course was required, with many trainers offering the course for approximately \$75.00. Notably, the filing and fingerprint fees are already \$428.25. The new 16-hour course, with an additional two hours live-fire, is estimated to run in approximately the \$400 dollar range, plus the cost of ammunition for "live fire" (perhaps \$50 or more), not to mention the significant time investment required for individuals to take off potentially three days of work to complete a training requirement that is now four and half times what was previously required. Prior to losing *Bruen*, New York did not require such an extensive and expensive training requirement. Rather, for many years, New York has deemed four hours sufficient to train individuals to carry firearms in public. **EXhibit "state policy requirement**

document"

- 30. The Supreme Court of New York, Fourth Department, by its Order of February 6, 2009, held: "Montgomery Blair Sibley, who was admitted to practice as an attorney and counselor at law by this Court on February 16, 1982, be immediately suspended from practice as an attorney and counselor at law until further order of the Court, without leave to apply for reinstatement until such time as he has been reinstated to the practice of law in Florida . . .".
- 31. In his 40 year legal career, Sibley has filed approximately five thousand (5,000) legal pleadings.
- 32. The cane sword or sword-stick has been popular throughout history as a concealed weapon for both men and women. It was in common usage in the United States in the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Centuries.

FIRST CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF DEFENDANT CHAUNCEY J. WATCHES

- 33. Sibley re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 32 and incorporates them herein by reference.
- 34. 18 U.S.C. §1983 provides a cause of action against state actors who deprive individuals of their federal constitutional rights under color of state law. As a result of the Supreme Court's decision in *New York State Rifle & Pistol Assn., Inc. v. Bruen*, Section 400.00(1)(b)'s "good moral character" requirement was unconstitutional as applied to Sibley as it requires the <u>prohibited</u>: (i) "appraisal of facts", (ii) "exercise of judgment", to (iii) result in "the formation of an opinion" that Sibley has "good moral character".
 - 35. In so much as the only basis Defendant Watches found to deny Sibley his pistol

license was his putative lack of "good moral character", Sibley is now entitled to have his pistol license issued forthwith.

WHEREFORE, Sibley requests that judgment be entered in his favor and against Defendant Chauncey J. Watches as follows:

- A. An order declaring that the "good moral character" requirement of the pre-CCIA N.Y. Penal Law Section §400.00(1)(b) is unconstitutional as it violates the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution as articulated in *Bruen* and as such Defendant Watches must immediately issue Sibley's requested pistol license.
 - B. Costs of suit, including attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988;
- C. Retaining jurisdiction to enter such other Declaratory and/or Injunctive relief as appropriate; and
 - D. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

SECOND CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF DEFENDANT CHAUNCEY J. WATCHES

- 36. Sibley re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 32 and incorporates them herein by reference.
- 37. 18 U.S.C. §1983 provides a cause of action against state actors who deprive individuals of their federal constitutional rights under color of state law. Sibley did <u>not</u> have the opportunity to review the Sheriff's report on Sibley upon which Defendant Watches expressly relied upon to make his determination to <u>deny</u> Sibley his pistol license as Defendant Watches expressly <u>refused</u> to disclose that report to Sibley despite Sibley's request for that report. As a result, Sibley's due process rights were violated as he was not given proper "notice".

38. Defendant Watches' <u>refusal</u> to grant Sibley's requests for information and documents denied Sibley's due process rights to be "heard".

WHEREFORE, Sibley requests that judgment be entered in his favor and against Defendant Chauncey J. Watches as follows:

- A. An order declaring that the Defendant Watches' refusal: (i) to disclose the Sheriff's Report and/or (ii) grant Sibley's request for information and documents violated Sibley's due process rights and directing Defendant Watches to: (i) re-open Sibley's pistol license hearing after disclosing the Sheriff's Report to Sibley and granting Sibley's requests for information and documents;
 - B. Costs of suit, including attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988;
- C. Retaining jurisdiction to enter such other Declaratory and/or Injunctive relief as appropriate; and
 - D. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

THIRD CLAIM

FOR

DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF DEFENDANTS KATHLEEN HOCHUL, LETITIA JAMES AND KEVIN P. BRUEN

- 39. Sibley re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 32 and incorporates them herein by reference.
- 40. 18 U.S.C. §1983 provides a cause of action against state actors who deprive individuals of their federal constitutional rights under color of state law. Defendants Kathleen Hochul, Letitia James and Kevin P. Bruen have, are and have the continuing ability to deprive Sibley of his federal constitutional rights as they are authorized to charge Sibley with violation of

§265.01(1) thus <u>preventing</u> Sibley from exercising his Second Amendment right by a continuing threat of criminal prosecution by these Defendants for Sibley's desire to "keep and bear arms".

§265.01(1) & (2) coupled with the procedures found at New York Penal Law §400.00 are unconstitutional as New York does not have a "compelling governmental interest" to criminalize Sibley's handgun and cane sword possession both in his home and in public which, coupled with New York's permitting scheme which is calculated toward Second Amendment abusive ends, is unconstitutional.

WHEREFORE, Sibley requests that judgment be entered in his favor and against Defendants Kathleen Hochul, Letitia James and Kevin P. Bruen as follows:

- A. An order declaring that New York does not have a "compelling governmental interest" to criminalize Sibley's handgun and cane sword possession both in his home and in public which, coupled with New York's permitting scheme which is calculated toward Second Amendment abusive ends, is unconstitutional;
 - B. Costs of suit, including attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988;
- C. Retaining jurisdiction to enter such other Declaratory and/or Injunctive relief as appropriate; and
 - D. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

FOURTH CLAIM

FOR

DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF DEFENDANTS KATHLEEN HOCHUL, LETITIA JAMES AND KEVIN P. BRUEN

42. Sibley realleges paragraphs 1 through 32 and incorporates them herein by reference.

43. The enactment of the CCIA violated the requirement of the New York

Constitution, Article III, §14 of the so-called "message of necessity" and the notice of the final
form of the CCIA to the members. Moreover, the enactment of the CCIA trespassed upon the

U.S. Constitution, Article IV, §4 requirement of a Republican form of Government as New York

was not acting as a representative democracy when it trampled on minority rights which de facto
if not de jure denied representative government by erosion of fundamental democratic norms and
practices.

WHEREFORE, Sibley requests that judgment be entered in his favor and against Defendants Kathleen Hochul, Letitia James and Kevin P. Bruen as follows:

- A. An order declaring that the enactment of the CCIA violated New York

 Constitution, Article III, §14 and/or violated Article IV, §4 of the United States Constitution;
- B. An order preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants Kathleen Hochul, Letitia James and Kevin P. Bruen, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the injunction, from enforcing the CCIA to ban the acquisition, possession, carrying or use of handguns and/or sword canes;
 - C. Costs of suit, including attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988;
- D. Retaining jurisdiction to enter such other Declaratory and/or Injunctive relief as appropriate; and
 - E. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF DEFENDANTS KATHLEEN HOCHUL, LETITIA JAMES AND KEVIN P. BRUEN

- 44. Sibley re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 32 and incorporates them herein by reference.
- 45. 18 U.S.C. §1983 provides a cause of action against state actors who deprive individuals of their federal constitutional rights under color of state law. Defendants Kathleen Hochul, Letitia James and Kevin P. Bruen have, are and have the continuing ability to deprive Sibley of his federal constitutional rights as they are authorized to charge Sibley with violation of §265.01(1) thus preventing Sibley from exercising his Second Amendment right by a continuing threat of criminal prosecution by these Defendants for his desire to "keep and bear arms".
- 46. Given the holding of the Supreme Court in *Bruen*, the CCIA's new requirement of "good moral character" which requires "having the essential character, temperament and judgment necessary to be entrusted with a weapon and to use it only in a manner that does not endanger oneself or others" on its face and as applied to Sibley is unconstitutional as such a determination requires the *Bruen* prohibited: (i) "appraisal of facts", (ii) "exercise of judgment", to (iii) result in "the formation of an opinion".
- 47. The laws, customs, practices and policies of Defendants Kathleen Hochul, Letitia James and Kevin P. Bruen as authorized by New York Penal Law §400.00 are unconstitutional as New York does not have a "compelling governmental interest" to criminalize Sibley's handgun and cane sword possession both in his home and in public which ban the acquisition, possession, carrying, licensing and use of "arms" thus prohibiting Sibley from acquiring, possessing and

using "arms" in common historical use such as handguns and/or sword canes. As such they violate Sibley's Second Amendment rights facially and as applied against Sibley, damaging Sibley in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983. Sibley is therefore entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against such laws, customs, policies, and practices.

- 48. The CCIA's: (i) "good moral character" requirement, (ii) Personal and Social Media Disclosure requirement, (iii) Character References requirement, (iv) Vague and Subjective Standards, (v) Sensitive Location requirements and (vi) Training requirements, singularity and collectively violate Sibley's fundamental and federal constitutional rights.
- 49. Sibley has the right to speak and associate with others anonymously and to keep and bear arms. The Personal and Social Media Disclosure requirement precludes Sibley from exercising both his First Amendement right to association simultaneously with his Second Amendment right to "keep and bear arms" and thus constitutes an invasion of Sibley privacy, and generates a substantial risk of discriminatory enforcement. The Personal and Social Media Disclosure requirement is thus unconstitutional under the First and Second Amendments to the U.S. Constitution, as incorporated against the states by the Fourteenth Amendment.
- 50. Sibley has the right to "keep and bear arms". His exercise of this right is not contingent on the approval of four other people. The Character References requirement is thus unconstitutional under the Second Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, as incorporated against the states by the Fourteenth Amendment.
- 51. A law that unnecessarily, intentionally, and unreasonably restricts Sibley's right to "keep and bear arms" as the (i) Vague and Subjective Standards, (ii) Sensitive Location requirements and (iii) Training Requirements do is unconstitutional under the Second

Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, as incorporated against the states by the Fourteenth Amendment.

WHEREFORE, Sibley requests that judgment be entered in his favor and against Defendants Kathleen Hochul, Letitia James and Kevin P. Bruen as follows:

- A. An order declaring that both N.Y. Penal Laws §265.01 and the CCIA are unconstitutional and violate the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution;
- B. An order preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants Chauncey J. Watches, Kathleen Hochul, Letitia James and Kevin P. Bruen, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the injunction, from enforcing N.Y. Penal Law § 265.01 to ban Sibley's acquisition, possession, carrying or use of handguns and/or sword canes;
 - C. Costs of suit, including attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988;
- D. Retaining jurisdiction to enter such other Declaratory and/or Injunctive relief as appropriate; and
 - E. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

SIXTH CLAIM

FOR

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES
DEFENDANTS ERIN M. PERADOTTO, JOHN V. CENTRA,
JOHN M. CURRAN, BRIAN F. DEJOSEPH

- 52. Sibley realleges paragraphs 1 through 32 and incorporates them herein by reference.
- 53. Defendants Defendants Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph, state officers who were acting under the color of state law, violated Sibley's United

States Constitution rights to "petition" and be "heard" by <u>refusing</u> to acknowledge or address the significant issue raised in Sibley's Petition to the Supreme Court, Fourth Department that Defendant Watches had relied upon information received *ex parte* which he <u>refused</u> to disclose to Sibley through requested to do so. Defendant Watches' reliance upon *ex parte* information was patently unconstitutional as it is axiomatic that the evidence used to prove the Government's case must be disclosed to the individual so that he has an opportunity to show that it is untrue.

- 54. Fundamental rights, Due Process and the limitations of inherent judicial powers prevent the Defendants Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph from <u>ignoring</u> significant legal issues brought before them. To <u>ignore</u> properly raised issues by <u>not</u> acting on them is <u>not</u> a "judicial act" thus subjecting these Defendants to personal liability. Judges do <u>not</u> have the jurisdiction to <u>ignore</u> their Constitutional obligation to "say what the law is" thus allowing the arbitrary and capricious development of the law and by denying access to judicial resolution of contested issues to some, but not all, litigants.
- DeJoseph could not, as the legislature may, avoid Sibley's *ex parte* issue because it approached the confines of the Constitution. With whatever doubts, with whatever difficulties Sibley's case may be attended, Defendants Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph must decide the issues in it. Defendants Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph had no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given, than to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be treason to the constitution.

56. Sibley lacks a statutory cause of action, or an available statutory cause of action does not provide a meaningful remedy, against Defendants Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph.

WHEREFORE, Sibley request that judgment be entered in his favor and against Defendants Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph as follows:

- A. An order declaring that the Defendants Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph have a constitutional obligation to decide issues properly brought before them;
- B. An order preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants Erin M. Peradotto,

 John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph, from refusing to address legal issues brought

 before them;
- C. Nominal, Compensatory and Punitive damages in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000) singularly and collectively from Defendants Erin M. Peradotto, John V. Centra, John M. Curran, Brian F. DeJoseph for their aforedescribed constitutional violations of Sibley's rights;
 - D. Costs of suit, including attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988;
- D. Retaining jurisdiction to enter such other Declaratory and/or Injunctive relief as appropriate; and
 - E. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

SEVENTH CLAIM

FOR

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES
DEFENDANTS JANET DIFIORE, JENNY RIVERA, MICHAEL J, GARCIA,
ROWAN D. WILSON, ANTHONY CANNATARO, MADELINE SINGAS AND SHIRLEY TROUTMAN

- 57. Sibley realleges paragraphs 1 through 32 and incorporates them herein by reference.
- 58. Defendants Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman declined to hear Sibley's appeal from the Fourth Department upon their claim that: "no substantial constitutional question is directly involved". Significantly, the Appellate Division, Fourth Department's **May 7, 2021**, Memorandum and Order on ten (10) occasions "directly involved the construction of the constitution of the state or of the United States".
- taken to the court of appeals as of right: 1. from an order of the appellate division which finally determines an action where there is directly involved the construction of the constitution of the state or of the United States." Notably, §5601(b)(1) does not include the adjective "substantial" in its description of the right to appeal to the Court of Appeals. The putative grafting of such a qualification seeking to avoid subject matter jurisdiction is not statutorily unauthorized, but in fact can be properly characterized as "treason to the Constitution" as it appears Defendants Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman are seeking to deny the Court of Appeal's subject matter jurisdiction by its unauthorized limitation of the definition of its subject matter jurisdiction to only "substantial" constitutional questions.

- 60. Improperly limiting the subject matter jurisdiction of the New York Court of Appeals is <u>not</u> a "judicial act". Defendants Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman had no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which was given, than to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be treason to the constitution.
- 61. Sibley lacks a statutory cause of action, or an available statutory cause of action does not provide a meaningful remedy, against Defendants Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman.

WHEREFORE, Sibley request that judgment be entered in his favor and against Defendants Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman as follows:

- A. An order declaring that Defendants Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman may <u>not</u> sua sponte amend CPLR §5601(b)(1) to include the word "substantial" to modify CPLR §5601(b)'s grant of subject matter jurisdiction;
- B. An order preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera, Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman, from *sua sponte* amending CPLR §5601(b)(1) to include the word "substantial" to modify CPLR §5601(b)'s grant of subject matter jurisdiction;
- C. Nominal, Compensatory and Punitive damages in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000) singularly and collectively from Defendants Janet DiFiore, Jenny Rivera,

Michael J, Garcia, Rowan D. Wilson, Anthony Cannataro, Madeline Singas and Shirley Troutman for their aforedescribed constitutional violations of Sibley's rights;

- D. Costs of suit, including attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988;
- D. Retaining jurisdiction to enter such other Declaratory and/or Injunctive relief as appropriate; and
 - E. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Advisory Jury Trial Requested

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Montgomery Blair Sibley Plaintiff P.O. Box 341 Odessa, N.Y. 14869 (607) 301-0967 montybsibley@gmail.com

Ву:	
	MONTCOMEDY RI AIR SIRI EV

Sibley v. Watches *et al*. Case No.: 19-CV-6517

Exhibit List

Exhibit "A" Application and Receipt

Exhibit "B" Defendant Watches' May 29, 2019 letter

Exhibit "C" Sibley's June 14, 2019, letter

Exhibit "D" Defendant Watches' June 25, 2019, letter Exhibit "E" Defendant Watches' October 25, 2019, letter

Exhibit "F" Sibley's November 12, 2019, letter

Exhibit "G" Defendant Watches' March 9, 2020, Decision Exhibit "H" May 7, 2021, Fourth Department Decision Exhibit "I" July 9, 2021, Fourth Department Order

Exhibit "J" December 16, 2021, Court of Appeals Order Exhibit "K" April 28, 2022, Court of Appeals Order

Exhibit "L" Senate Bill S51001 "Concealed Carry Improvement Act"

Case 6:19-cv-06517-FPG Document 94 Filed 09/01/22 Page 35 of 75

NYSID Number License Number Date of Issue	PPB 3 (Rev. 06/17) STATE OF NEW YORK PISTOL /REVOLVER LICENSE APPLICATION Expiration Date		
(f. ecopyten.com) (fin. edet 17 in. eye. 13 /2 P/distremin blober (f. edit 25 per supraccay) Transfer (f. edit 25 per supraccay)			
Last Name	MI Date of Birth – MM DD YYYY NY Driver's	License (or NY Non-C	Oriver ID) No.
Gender Social Security Ro	ce Height n 6 in 0 190 BLUE GRAY YES NO		
Physical Address (Street number, street name, apartment num 189 CHEMUNG STREET, #3, CORNI Mailling Address (If different from physical address)	NG, NY 14830		
Primary Phone Number 607 - 301 - 0967	Secondary Phone Number Emeil Address MBSIBLEY@GMAIL.	COM	
Employed By MERIDIAN BIRD REMOVAL Business Address (Street number, street name, apartment num 17 N Franklin St, Christiansbu	rg, VA 24073		
I hereby apply for a Pistol / Revolver Licens (*) Premise Address or Employer Name and Addre Employer Name (if Carry During Employment) MERIDIAN BIRD REMOVAL	to: (Check only one) 🖾 Carry Concealed 🗌 * Possess on Premises 🔲 * Possess / s must be provided below: Address or Other Location (Street number, street name, apartment number, city, state, zip code) 17 N Franklin St, Christiansburg, VA 24073	Carry During Er	mployment
A license is required for the following reason			
Give four character references who by their Last, First, Mi Nicol, Kathleen Schonberg, Rita	signature attest to your good moral character. Street Address, (Street number, street name, apartment number, city, state, zip code)	Signature	
Siegel, John 607A			GIV.
Solomon, Paul	narged or indicted anywhere for any offense, including DWI (except traffic infrac	tions)?	□ NO
If Yes, furnish the following information:			
Are you a fugitive from justice?		☐ YES	⊠ NO
	ny controlled substance as defined in section 21 U.S.C. 802?	YES	NO NO
Are you an alien illegally or unlawfully in th		☐ YES	⊠ NO
	es who does not qualify for the exceptions under 18 U.S.C. 922 (y)(2)?	☐ YES	⊠ NO
Have you been discharged from the Armed		☐ YES	≥ NO
Have you ever renounced your United State	s citizensnip?	YES	⊠ NO
Have you ever suffered any mental illness?	26. a manufal hapith facility?	YES	⊠ NO
Have you ever been involuntarily committee		☐ YES	⊠ NO
Have you ever had a pistol / revolver licens	neligibility order issued pursuant to the provisions of section 530.14 of the	☐ YES	⊠ NO
criminal procedure law or section eight hus	dred forty-two-a of the family court act? pursuant to any provision of state law, based on a determination that as a result liness, incapacity, condition or disease you lack the mental capacity to contract		⊠ NO
Are you aware of any good cause for the de	nial of the license?	☐ YES	⊠ NO
Are you prohibited from possessing firearm misdemeanor crime of domestic violence of exceeding one year?	is under federal law, including having been convicted in any court of a r being under indictment for a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term	YES	₹ NO
If the answer to any of the questions above	IS TES, expiain nere:		

or applicants under twenty-c ave you been honorably dis ational Guard of the State of	charged from the	nly: United States Army, Navy, Marine	Corps	, Air Force	or Coast Guard, or t	he YES N
Photograph Of Applicant Taken Within 30 Days ——— Full Face Only	constitutes conditions a 1. No lice 2. Any lice license 3. If I perr Superir within 1 4. Any lice	providing false information a crime punishable by fine a crime punishable by fine affect any license which may be use issued as a result of this applications issued as a result of this application of the state Police and in No days of such change. The provided has a result of this application is such change. The provided has a result of this application of a court of record.	improe issuation is cation was cer. a of such lassau	isonment led to me valid in the valid be valid ch change a County and	t, or both. I am: City of New York. only for a pistol or revo	aware that the following liver specifically described in the ust be forwarded to the blicensing officer of that county
		Signed and sworn to before	me			
		This day o				, 20
Signature of Applicant		Signature of Officer	Administe	ering Oath		Title of Officer
ingerprints submitted el					Organization	
nvestigation Report – All	information pro	vided by this applicant has b	een ve	erified:		
ame		Rank	-		Organization	
	Signature of Investigating Officer					
his application is Approved	d – Disapproved (\$	Strike out one)	he fol	owing rest	triction(s) is (are) app	licable to this license:
Title and S	signature of Licensing Offi	cer				
f Licensing Officer autho	rizes the posses	ssion of a pistol, revolver or s	ingle :	shot firea	rm(s) at the time of	fissue of original license,
Licensing Officer autho urnish the following info	rizes the posses		Frame	shot firea	rm(s) at the time of	f issue of original license,
Licensing Officer autho urnish the following info	rizes the posses	ssion of a pistol, revolver or s	Frame Only	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Licensing Officer autho urnish the following info	rizes the posses rmation: Pistol / Revolver / Single Shot	ssion of a pistol, revolver or s	Frame Only	Caliber(s)	Serial Number	Property Of
Licensing Officer authournish the following info Manufacturer	rizes the posses rmation: Platel / Revolver / Single Shot Pistol	Model .22 cal auto pistol	Frame Only	Caliber(s)	Serial Number 14-62509	Property Of Montgomery Sibley

Duplicate of this application must be filed with the Superintendent of State Police within 10 days of issuance as required by Penal Law Section 400.00 SUBD.5.
This form is approved by Superintendent of the State Police as required by Penal Law section 400.00, SUBD. 3.

STEUBEN COUNTY CLERK **JUDITH M. HUNTER**

Receipt

Receipt Date: 07/18/2018 09:43:11 AM

RECEIPT # 20180069770

Recording Clerk: LM Cash Drawer: CASH5

Rec'd Frm: MONTGOMERY SIBLEY

Rec'd In Person

Misc Fees	
Pistol Permit - New	\$10.00
Pistol Permit Photo Fee	\$10.00
Receipt Summary	
TOTAL RECEIPT:>	\$20.00
TOTAL RECEIVED:>	\$20.00
CASH BACK:>	\$0.00
PAYMENTS	
Cash ->	\$20.00



STEUBEN COUNTY COUNTY & FAMILY COURT CHAMBERS 3 E. PULTENEY SQUARE BATH NY 14810

(607) 622-8192 Fax (607) 622-8241

Hon. Chauncey J. Watches County and Family Court Judge Vivian C. Strache, Esq. Court Attorney

May 29, 2019

Mr. Montgomery Sibley 189 Chemung Street #3 Corning, NY 14830

RE: NYS Pistol Permit Application

Dear Mr. Sibley:

Your application to obtain a pistol permit has been submitted to me for my consideration. I have reviewed your application and the investigation submitted by the Steuben County Sheriff's Department. The possession of a pistol permit license is a serious responsibility and a privilege. Therefore, the Court takes each application very seriously.

Your application for a pistol permit is denied. This decision is based upon concerns expressed in the Sheriff's investigation. The basis for the denial results from concerns about your being sufficiently responsible to possess and care for a pistol; the Court is concerned that your history demonstrates that you place your own interest above the interests of society

You do have the right to request a hearing with regard to the denial of your application. If you want a hearing you must submit a written request to the Pistol Permit Clerk within thirty (30) days of the date of this denial. The purpose of the hearing would be to allow you to testify and for you to present any other witness(es) that you believe could address the concerns mentioned in the foregoing and show that you should now be entitled to a pistol permit license. The County will also be able to present witnesses concerning the results of their investigation.

If after thirty (30) days, you fail to request a hearing, the denial will be deemed final.

Ht tele

Very truly yours,

Chauncey J. Watches

County Court Judge

CJW/rac

Montgomery Blair Sibley

189 Chemung Street Corning, N.Y. 14830 607-301-0967 mbsibley@gmail.com

June 14, 2019

USPS Tracking #: 9590940241208092937555 Licensing Officer Chauncey J. Watches 3 E. Pulteney Square Bath, N.Y. 14810

Re: NYS Pistol Permit Application

Greetings:

I am in receipt of that certain letter dated May 29, 2019, from you in your capacity as a New York Consolidated Laws, Penal Law §265.00(10) Licensing Officer to me. I have this day requested a hearing from the Steuben County Pistol Permit Clerk in regards to the denial of my Pistol Permit Application; a copy of that request is attached hereto. Please note my new telephone number above for any telephonic communications.

Prior to advising you regarding my desired scheduling and duration of the above-referenced hearing, I am requesting from you copies of all written investigation reports and/or objections from any police authority or person reported to you as the §265.00(10) Licensing Officer purusant to NY CLS Penal §400.00(4). Additionally, please identify to me any orally communicated information you received regarding my application including, without limitation: (i) the name(s) and professional capacity of the reporting individual(s), (ii) the date and time of the report(s), (iii) the sum and substance of such report(s) and (iv) copies of any notes you made regarding the oral information you received in this regard. Finally, to the extent you consulted or were guided by any legal or educational authorities or State Administrative Procedure Act, §102(14) Guidance Documents or its like to process my application, please identify and provide copies of such documents.

Within thirty (30) days of receiving this information from you, I will advise you on: (i) the time I will need to gather my evidence in response to the information you have received pursuant to NY CLS Penal § 400.00(4), (ii) the subpoenas and/or depositions I will be requesting from you pursuant to NY CLS St Admin P Act, §304(2), (iii) the number of witnesses I expect to call at the hearing, and (iv) consequently, the time I will be requesting for the hearing.

Yours,



STEUBEN COUNTY COUNTY & FAMILY COURT CHAMBERS 3 E. PULTENEY SQUARE BATH NY 14810

(607) 622-8192 Fax (607) 622-8241

Hon. Chauncey J. Watches County and Family Court Judge Vivian C. Strache, Esq. Court Attorney

June 25, 2019

Montgomery Sibley 189 Chemung Street #3 Corning, NY 14830

RE: NYS Pistol Permit Application

Dear Mr. Sibley:

I am in receipt of your letter dated June 14, 2019 concerning the denial of your application to obtain a pistol permit. Pursuant to your request I have scheduled a hearing on July 31, 2019 at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom C at the Steuben County Courthouse, 3 E. Pulteney Square, Bath. New York. You should be prepared to proceed on that date with any evidence which you intend to present to the Court including testimony from you or any other witness concerning your application.

I have reviewed your requests for information and documents and find them to be without legal basis and therefore they are denied.

Very truly yours,

Chauncey J. Watches

Steuben County Court Judge

C/W/mc

ce: Steuben County Pistol Permit Clerk



STEUBEN COUNTY COUNTY & FAMILY COURT CHAMBERS 3 E. PULTENEY SQUARE BATH NY 14810

(607) 622-8192 Fax (607) 622-8241

Hon. Chauncey J. Watches
County and Family Court Judge

Vivian C. Strache, Esq. Court Attorney

October 25, 2019

Montgomery Sibley 189 Chemung Street Corning, New York 14830

Re: New York State Pistol Permit Application

Dear Mr. Sibley:

As you know your concealed pistol permit application was denied subject to an evidentiary hearing. In preparation for your January 10, 2020 hearing, please be advised that the court will address several relevant issues, including, but not limited to the following topics:

- 1. Discussion of your Florida civil contempt and incarceration;
- 2. Discussion of your history of vexatious litigation, including a list of all proceedings in which you have been sanctioned;
- Discussion of your suspensions and other disciplinary action taken regarding your license to practice law in any and all jurisdictions and courts in which you previously practiced;
- 4. Discussion of your possession in New York State of two handguns and a cane sword without a valid permit;
- 5. Discussion of your need for a handgun in furtherance of your employment;
- 6. Discussion of the circumstances involving your prior pistol permits in Florida and New York:
- 7. Discussion of how your repeated and continuous failure to follow court orders in multiple courts and jurisdictions demonstrates good moral character.

Jelete

Chauncey J. Watches

Steuben County Court Judge

C]W/rac

cc: Steuben County Attorney Office Steuben County Sheriff's Department Steuben County Pistol Permit Clerk

Exhibit "E"

Montgomery Blair Sibley

189 Chemung Street Corning, N.Y. 14830 607-301-0967 montybsibley@gmail.com

November 12, 2019

Licencing Officer Chauncey J. Watches 3 E. Pulteney Square Bath, N.Y. 14810

Re: Montgomery Blair Sibley NYS Pistol Permit Application

Greetings:

I am in receipt of that certain letter dated October 25, 2019, from you in your capacity as a NY Penal Law §265.00(10) Licencing Officer to me. In response:

First: It is Black Letter Law that I am entitled to "Notice" which this Court has yet to provide to me in the manner which is due given the seriousness of this proceeding. In *In re Gault*, 387 U.S. 1, 33-34 (1967) the Court made this clear: "Notice, to comply with due process requirements, must be given sufficiently in advance of scheduled court proceedings so that reasonable opportunity to prepare will be afforded, and it must set forth the alleged misconduct with particularity. *In re Gault*, 387 U.S. 1, 33-34 (1967)(Emphasis added). *Accord: Wolff v. McDonnell*, 418 U.S. 539, 564 (1974)("Part of the function of notice is to give the charged party a chance to marshal the facts in his defense and to clarify what the charges are, in fact.")(Emphasis added)

In that regard, adopting the numbering in your aforementioned letter:

- #1: Please provide access to or copies of whatever materials you received regarding #1 so that I might be adequately prepared to address that complicated, family court matter which stretched over the better part of a decade and spawned well over two dozen trial and appellate matters. Otherwise, this hearing will take at least one (1) additional day to allow me to present the issues of my first divorce.
- #2: Please provide access to or copies of whatever materials you received regarding #2 so that I might be adequately prepared to address the hundreds of lawsuits I have appeared as either party or counsel. Otherwise, this hearing will take at least one (1) additional day.
- #3: Please provide access to or copies of whatever materials you received regarding #3 so that I might be adequately prepared to address the fifteen (15) jurisdictions in which I have

Licencing Officer Chauncey J. Watches November 12, 2019 Page 2

been involved in "suspensions or disciplinary actions". Otherwise, this hearing will take at least one (1) additional day.

#4: I will be pleased to address the issues in #4 with the understanding this is not a "discussion" but an adjudicatory proceeding under the N.Y. Administrative Procedures Act. If you are conducting this hearing under some other legal or anomalous authority, please promptly advise me of the same as such a fundamental misunderstanding by me due to lack of "notice" of the nature of this proceeding raises both procedural and substantive due process considerations. In particular, N.Y. St. Admin. P. Act, §306 has a lower standard for admissible evidence then a judicial proceeding. As such, I cannot adequately prepare for the hearing until I am given "notice" of which rules of evidence and procedure the hearing will be utilizing and the claimed authority for such rules.

#5: I will be pleased to address the issues in #5 per #4 supra.

#6: I will be pleased to address the issues in #6 per #4 supra.

#7: Without conceding that "good moral character" is a proper inquiry in this proceeding for, *inter alia*, the constraints placed by law upon this proceeding by CPL § 530.14, please provide access to or copies of whatever materials you received regarding #7 so that I might be adequately prepared to address this issue. Otherwise, this hearing will take at least one (1) additional day.

Second: Pursuant to the Black Letter Law that a judge is incompetent to testify in a proceeding over which he or she is presiding and by the attached Second Motion to Disqualify, I am once again moving to disqualify you as I intend to call you as a witness at the **January 10**, **2020**, hearing.

I look forward to your prompt reply to the foregoing.

Yours,

cc: w/enclosures

Steuben County Attorney Office Steuben County Sheriff's Department Steuben County Pistol Permit Clerk STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY COURT COUNTY OF STEUBEN

In the Matter of the Pistol Permit of

Index No. 2019-7PP Pistol Permit #C35494

DECISION

Montgomery Sibley,

Applicant.

Appearances: Montgomery Sibley, Corning, New York

Montgomery Sibley submitted a pistol permit application dated July 18, 2018 to the Steuben County Clerk's Office. The application was forwarded to the Steuben County Sheriff's Office for review and received by the Court May 8, 2019. The Court denied the application May 29, 2019. The denial was based on: 1) concern about Mr. Sibley's ability to responsibly possess and care for a pistol; and, 2) concern that Mr. Sibley's history demonstrates that he puts his own interests above the interests of society. The Court informed Mr. Sibley that he could request a hearing to allow him to testify and present any witnesses to address the Court's concerns. Upon receipt of Mr. Sibley's request, the Court scheduled the hearing for July 31, 2019. The Court received further correspondence from Mr. Sibley objecting to the July date and requesting that the hearing be scheduled for January 10, 2020 in the morning for 90 minutes. The Court accommodated Mr. Sibley's request and the hearing was held on January 10, 2020.

At the hearing, the Court provided Mr. Sibley the opportunity to present witnesses, testify and introduce written evidence. Mr. Sibley chose to testify and submit a binder

consisting of 45 documents totaling 250 pages, marked and admitted as Petitioner's Exhibit 1.

At the end of his testimony, Mr. Sibley indicated he had nothing further to add and the Court noted that a written decision would be issued.

Penal Law § 400 governs the issuance of pistol permits. The relevant requirement in this matter is that the applicant be "of good moral character". Penal Law § 400 1. (b). Good cause exists to deny a permit where the applicant lacks "the essential temperament or character which should be present in one entrusted with a dangerous [weapon]..., or that he or she does not possess the maturity, prudence, carefulness, good character, temperament, demeanor and judgment necessary to have a pistol permit." *Matter of Gurnett v. Bargnesi*, 147 AD3d 1319 [4th Dept. 2017] [internal quotation marks omitted].

Western civilization has long recognized that good moral character is the ideal state of a person's beliefs and values that provides the most benefit to a healthy and worthy society. Good moral character is more than having an unblemished criminal record. A person of good moral character behaves in an ethical manner and provides the Court, and ultimately society, reassurance that he can be trusted to make good decisions. Aldo Leopold said that "ethical behavior is doing the right thing when no one else is watching — even when doing the wrong thing is legal." Given the nature of the responsibility involved with the handling of a dangerous weapon, the Court must be assured of the applicant's ability to follow the law and abide by rules and regulations necessary to protect the safety of the individual and society. The Court must also have a basis to trust that the applicant's character is such that he will behave in an ethical manner where there are no written rules. The evidence presented does not provide the Court with assurance that Mr. Sibley can follow specific laws, rules and regulations let alone behave in an ethical and responsible manner necessary to be granted a pistol permit. In short, Mr. Sibley has failed to demonstrate his good moral character.

The Court first notes that Mr. Sibley has been suspended from the practice of law in the State of Florida, the District of Columbia and the State of New York as well as various federal courts. This gives the Court pause in considering Mr. Sibley's application. The Preamble to the New York Rules of Professional Conduct notes that a lawyer, as a member of the legal profession, is an officer of the legal system with special responsibility for the quality of justice. A lawyer has a duty to uphold the legal process and demonstrate respect for the legal system as well as further the public's understanding of and confidence in the rule of law and the justice system. Because Mr. Sibley has failed to maintain these duties as an officer of the legal system, the Court lacks confidence that Mr. Sibley will follow both the explicit and implicit rules inherent in the responsibility of a pistol permit holder.

Even assuming, arguendo, that Mr. Sibley has somehow rehabilitated himself from the circumstances that led to his disbarment, his testimony at the hearing belies any such notion. During his testimony, Mr. Sibley argued that although his actions as an attorney may have been vexatious and meritless they were not frivolous. This is a distinction without a difference¹ and factually incorrect. In 2006, the Florida Supreme Court held that Mr. Sibley's "frivolous and abusive fillings must immediately come to an end" and found sanctions appropriate. Sibley v. Fla. Judicial Qualifications Comm'n, 973 So.2d 425, 427 [2006]. Even after his disbarment, Mr. Sibley has continued to pursue frivolous litigation in various courts. As recently as 2018, Mr. Sibley was sanctioned by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland for his "frivolous and vexatious litigation strategy." CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Sibley, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 169864, *9 [Md. October 2, 2018].

¹ See also In Re Sibley, 2010 D.C. App. LEXIS 89, **26 [2010] ["We reject the distinction that respondent seeks to draw between "meritless" claims and "frivolous" claims."]

Finally, this Court agrees with the Fourth Department's assessment of Mr. Sibley:

"Respondent, by his conduct, has demonstrated his disregard and disrespect for the judiciary as
well as his absence of remorse." 61 A.D.3d 85, 87 [4th Dept. 2009]. Given these circumstances,
the Court is unable to find Mr. Sibley to be of good moral character.

Based on Mr. Sibley's application, the testimony presented to the Court, the evidence received and upon due deliberation, the Court confirms the denial of the pistol permit application of Montgomery Sibley. Upon his readmission to the bar of New York, Mr. Sibley may submit a new application for a pistol permit.

This constitutes the decision of the Court.

Dated: March 9, 2020

Bath, New York

HON, CHAUNCEY J. WATCHES

County Court Judge

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

325

OP 20-00556

PRESENT: CENTRA, J.P., PERADOTTO, CURRAN, AND DEJOSEPH, JJ.

IN THE MATTER OF MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY, PETITIONER,

v

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

CHAUNCEY JOSEPH WATCHES, RESPONDENT.

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY, PETITIONER PRO SE.

LETITIA JAMES, ATTORNEY GENERAL, ALBANY (FRANK BRADY OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT.

Proceeding pursuant to CPLR article 78 (initiated in the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court in the Fourth Judicial Department pursuant to CPLR 506 [b] [1]) to review a determination of respondent. The determination denied the application of petitioner for a pistol license.

It is hereby ORDERED that the determination is unanimously modified on the law and the petition is granted in part by annulling that part of the determination that enjoined petitioner from reapplying for a pistol license until he is readmitted to the New York State bar, and as modified the determination is confirmed without costs.

Memorandum: Petitioner commenced this CPLR article 78 proceeding seeking, inter alia, to annul the determination denying his pistol license application. Contrary to petitioner's contention, the alleged procedural errors that he raises in the petition did not deprive him of his right to due process during the pistol license application review process. Initially, we reject his contention that respondent should have complied with the State Administrative Procedure Act (SAPA) in determining petitioner's application. We note that SAPA applies only to agencies of the state government and not to local officials such as respondent here (see State Administrative Procedure Act § 102 [1]; Matter of Tefft v Hutchinson, 93 AD3d 1332, 1333 [4th Dept 2012]). Indeed, we further note that the relevant statutes governing review of pistol license applications contemplate that local officials—rather than state officials—are to review pistol license applications (see Penal Law §§ 265.00 [10]; 400.00 [3] [a]).

We further conclude that petitioner was not denied due process when respondent communicated with petitioner's employer and the Sheriff's Office because those communications were necessary for

325 OP 20-00556

respondent to comply with his responsibility under Penal Law § 400.00 (1) to investigate whether "all statements in a proper application for a license are true" before issuing a license. Respondent needed to communicate with petitioner's employer to investigate petitioner's claim in his application that he needed a pistol for his job. Additionally, we note that the statute requires that "there shall be an investigation of all statements required in the application by the duly constituted police authorities of the locality where such application is made," and that the Sheriff's Office was required to communicate with respondent and "report the results [of its investigation] to the licensing officer" (§ 400.00 [4]). Thus, we reject petitioner's contention that those communications constituted improper ex parte communications that required respondent to disqualify himself from considering the application.

We also reject petitioner's contention that he was denied due process because respondent failed to disclose the substance of his conversation with petitioner's employer. That contention is belied by the record. At the hearing, respondent informed petitioner about the substance of that conversation—i.e., that petitioner's employer said that having a pistol would be helpful, but was not necessary, for petitioner's work. There is no violation of due process where, as here, petitioner was given notice of the information respondent obtained from the employer, and was given the chance to address that information at the hearing (see generally Matter of Curts v Randall, 110 AD3d 1452, 1452 [4th Dept 2013]; Matter of La Grange v Bruhn, 276 AD2d 974, 975 [3d Dept 2000]). Indeed, we note that petitioner introduced evidence at the hearing to support his position that he needed a pistol to do his job.

We further reject petitioner's contention that the failure to hold a hearing before respondent made his initial determination to deny the application violated petitioner's right to due process. Under Penal Law § 400.00 (4-a), a "licensing officer must either deny the application for reasons specifically and concisely stated in writing or grant the application and issue the license applied for. If the licensing officer denies the application, [t]he petitioner must be given the specific reasons for the denial . . . and be given an opportunity to respond to the objections to [his] application" (Matter of Parker v Randall, 120 AD3d 946, 947 [4th Dept 2014] [internal quotation marks omitted]; see Matter of Savitch v Lange, 114 AD2d 372, 373 [2d Dept 1985]). Here, respondent complied with due process and Penal Law § 400.00 (4-a) because, in his initial determination, respondent provided petitioner with a specific reason for the denial of the application and allowed petitioner to request a hearing to address respondent's concerns. There is no requirement under Penal Law § 400.00 that respondent conduct an evidentiary hearing prior to making a determination, provided, inter alia, that petitioner has an adequate opportunity to respond to that determination (see generally Matter of Chomyn v Boller, 137 AD3d 1705, 1706 [4th Dept 2016], lv denied 28 NY3d 908 [2016]).

We also reject petitioner's contention that he lacked notice of

-3-

325 OP 20-00556

the issues to be considered at the hearing and that respondent did not articulate the reasons for his denial of the application (see generally Matter of Cuda v Dwyer, 107 AD3d 1409, 1409 [4th Dept 2013]; Matter of Vale v Eidens, 290 AD2d 612, 613 [3d Dept 2002]). The record squarely contradicts that contention. Several months before the hearing, respondent sent petitioner a letter notifying him of multiple areas of concern about petitioner's application. Furthermore, respondent's decision denying the application provided specific reasons for that determination (see generally Parker, 120 AD3d at 947).

We further reject petitioner's contention that he was deprived of due process based on the length of time it took to process the application (see Penal Law § 400.00 [4-a]). Petitioner submitted his application in July 2018, at which point it was referred to the Sheriff's Office for the investigation required by Penal Law § 400.00 (4). That investigation was not completed until May 2019. Respondent made his initial determination denying the application three weeks later. Although a "police authority" is required to "report the results [of its investigation] to the licensing officer without unnecessary delay" (§ 400.00 [4]), petitioner never sought to compel the Sheriff's Office to speed up the investigation so respondent could process the application, and there is no evidence in the record that respondent unduly delayed his initial determination. Further, most of the delay about which petitioner complains, which occurred between respondent's initial determination in May 2019 and his final determination in March 2020, was caused by petitioner. petitioner himself requested several adjournments of the hearing, and ultimately requested that the hearing be held in January 2020. Thus, because respondent is not responsible for the delay in the determination of petitioner's application, he did not deprive petitioner of due process.

With respect to petitioner's challenge to the constitutionality of the pistol licensing application statutes-i.e., Penal Law §§ 400.00 (1) and 265.00 (10)-we note that "[a] declaratory judgment action is the proper vehicle for [such a] challeng[e]" (Matter of Velez v DiBella, 77 AD3d 670, 671 [2d Dept 2010]; see Matter of Nelson v Stander, 79 AD3d 1645, 1647 [4th Dept 2010]). Petitioner "may not seek declaratory relief in this original proceeding pursuant to CPLR article 78 . . . [because] this Court lacks jurisdiction to consider a declaratory judgment action in the absence of a proper appeal from a court order or judgment" (Matter of Jefferson v Siegel, 28 AD3d 1153, 1154 [4th Dept 2006] [internal quotation marks omitted]; see Nelson, 79 AD3d at 1647; Matter of Cram v Town of Geneva, 182 AD2d 1102, 1102-1103 [4th Dept 1992]). Thus, "petitioner's contention[s] that[, inter alia, certain aspects of the licensing eligibility requirements of Penal Law § 400.00 (1) unconstitutionally infringe upon his right to bear arms under the Second Amendment" are not properly before us (Matter of Jackson v Anderson, 149 AD3d 933, 934 [2d Dept 2017]).

We reject petitioner's contention that respondent's determination denying his application was arbitrary or capricious. "The State has a substantial and legitimate interest and[,] indeed, a grave

-4-

325 OP 20-00556

responsibility, in insuring the safety of the general public from individuals who, by their conduct, have shown themselves to be lacking the essential temperament or character which should be present in one entrusted with a dangerous instrument" (Matter of Galletta v Crandall, 107 AD3d 1632, 1632 [4th Dept 2013] [internal quotation marks omitted]). A licensing officer, such as respondent, "has broad discretion to grant or deny a permit under Penal Law § 400.00 (1)" (Parker, 120 AD3d at 947), " 'and may do so for any good cause' " (Galletta, 107 AD3d at 1632). A licensing officer's factual findings and credibility determinations are entitled to great deference (see generally Cuda, 107 AD3d at 1410).

Here, we cannot conclude that respondent abused his discretion or acted irrationally in denying the application on the ground that petitioner lacked good moral character (see Matter of Zeltins v Cook, 176 AD3d 1574, 1575 [4th Dept 2019]; Matter of Moreno v Cacace, 61 AD3d 977, 978-979 [2d Dept 2009]). Specifically, respondent's determination is amply supported by evidence in the record establishing petitioner's significant history of pursuing vexatious and frivolous litigation, which often resulted in the imposition of sanctions, and his willful failure to pay child support arrears, which resulted in him being held in civil contempt in Florida and incarcerated for 78 days. Respondent also properly considered that petitioner's behavior resulted in his suspension from the Florida Bar (see Florida Bar v Sibley, 979 So 2d 221, 221 [Fla 2008], reh denied 995 So 2d 346 [Fla 2008], cert denied 555 US 830 [2008]), and the reciprocal suspension of his law license in New York (Matter of Sibley, 61 AD3d 85, 87 [4th Dept 2009], appeal dismissed 12 NY3d 849 [2009], reconsideration denied 12 NY3d 911 [2009], cert denied 558 US 808 [2009]), and several other jurisdictions (see e.g. Matter of Discipline of Sibley, 559 US 1002, 1002 [2010]; Matter of Sibley, 564 F3d 1335, 1337 [DC Cir 2009], cert dismissed 558 US 943 [2009]; Matter of Sibley, 990, Azd 483, 486 [DC 2010], cert dismissed 562 US 806 [2010]). Further, respondent properly considered evidence that petitioner lacked remorse for his frivolous conduct, showed contempt for the judicial system, and failed to comprehend the nature of his conduct in court (see generally Zeltins, 176 AD3d at 1575).

Although respondent did not err in denying the application, we nonetheless also conclude that respondent was without authority to enjoin petitioner from reapplying for a pistol licence until he is readmitted to the New York State bar. Respondent did not impose that injunction in his capacity as a County Court judge, but rather while acting as a licensing officer (Penal Law § 265.00 [10]) in a quasijudicial capacity (see Matter of Goldstein v Schwartz, 185 AD3d 929, 930 [2d Dept 2020]). Thus, the issuance of an injunction was "beyond the scope of [respondent's] powers to either deny or grant the application" (id.; see Penal Law § 400.00 [4-a]). We therefore modify the determination accordingly.

Entered: May 7, 2021

Mark W. Bennett Clerk of the Court

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

MOTION NO. 325/21 OP 20-00556

PRESENT: CENTRA, J.P., PERADOTTO, CURRAN, AND DEJOSEPH, JJ.

IN THE MATTER OF MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY, PETITIONER,

CHAUNCEY JOSEPH WATCHES, RESPONDENT.

Petitioner having moved for reargument of or, in the alternative, leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals from the order of this Court entered May 7, 2021,

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motion, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is hereby ORDERED that the motion is denied.

Entered: July 9, 2021

Mark W. Bennett Clerk of the Court

Exhibit"I"

State of New York Court of Appeals

Decided and Entered on the sixteenth day of December, 2021

Present, Hon. Janet DiFiore, Chief Judge, pre	Present	Hon.	Janet	DiFiore.	Chief Judge,	presiding.
---	---------	------	-------	----------	--------------	------------

Mo. No. 2021-731
In the Matter of Montgomery Blair Sibley,

Appellant,

Chauncey Joseph Watches, Respondent.

Appellant having appealed and moved for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals and for ancillary relief in the above cause;

Upon the papers filed and due deliberation, it is

ORDERED, on the Court's own motion, that the appeal is dismissed, without costs, upon the ground that no substantial constitutional question is directly involved; and it is further

ORDERED, that the motion for leave to appeal is denied; and it is further ORDERED, that the motion for ancillary relief is dismissed as academic.

John P. Asiello Clerk of the Court

Exhibit "J"

State of New York Court of Appeals

Decided and Entered on the twenty-eighth day of April, 2022

P	resent,	Hon.	Janet	DiFiore,	Chief J	udge,	presiding.
---	---------	------	-------	----------	---------	-------	------------

Mo. No. 2022-43

In the Matter of Montgomery Blair Sibley, Appellant,

v.

Chauncey Joseph Watches, Respondent.

Appellant having moved for reargument &c. in the above cause;

Upon the papers filed and due deliberation, it is

ORDERED, that the motion for reargument &c. is denied.

Judge Troutman took no part.

Heather Davis
Deputy Clerk of the Court

STATE OF NEW YORK

1

Extraordinary Session

IN SENATE

July 1, 2022

Introduced by Sens. STEWART-COUSINS, MYRIE, KAVANAGH -- (at request of the Governor) -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Rules

AN ACT to amend the penal law, the general business law, the executive law, the civil practice law and rules and the state finance law, in relation to licensing and other provisions relating to firearms

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. The section heading and subdivisions 1, 1-a, 2, 4, 4-a, 2 4-b, 10 and 11 of section 400.00 of the penal law, subdivisions 1 and 10 as amended by chapter 1 of the laws of 2013, paragraph (c) of subdivision 1 as amended by chapter 60 of the laws of 2018, paragraph (j) of subdivision 1 as amended by chapter 208 of the laws of 2022, subdivision 5 1-a as added by section 2 of part N of chapter 55 of the laws of 2020, subdivision 2 as amended by chapter 212 of the laws of 2022, subdivision 7 4 as amended by chapter 242 of the laws of 2019, subdivision 4-a as 9 added by chapter 233 of the laws of 1980, subdivision 4-b as added by 10 chapter 446 of the laws of 1997, paragraph (c) of subdivision 10 as added by chapter 212 of the laws of 2022, subdivision 11 as amended by 11 chapter 207 of the laws of 2022, are amended and a new subdivision 4-c is added to read as follows:

14 [Licenses to carry, possess, repair and dispose of] Licensing and other 15 provisions relating to firearms.

1. Eligibility. No license shall be issued or renewed pursuant to this section except by the licensing officer, and then only after investigation and finding that all statements in a proper application for a license are true. No license shall be issued or renewed except for an applicant (a) twenty-one years of age or older, provided, however, that where such applicant has been honorably discharged from the United States army, navy, marine corps, air force or coast guard, or the national guard of the state of New York, no such age restriction shall

EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets
[-] is old law to be omitted.

LBD12053-04-2

2 S. 1

5

7

9

10

11

13 14

15

16

17

19

27

29

30

31

32

38

41

45

47

48

49

50 51

of good moral character, which, for the purposes of this apply; (b) article, shall mean having the essential character, temperament and judgement necessary to be entrusted with a weapon and to use it only in a manner that does not endanger oneself or others; (c) who has not been convicted anywhere of a felony or a serious offense or who is not the subject of an outstanding warrant of arrest issued upon the alleged commission of a felony or serious offense; (d) who is not a fugitive (e) who is not an unlawful user of or addicted to any from justice; controlled substance as defined in section 21 U.S.C. 802; (f) who being an alien (i) is not illegally or unlawfully in the United States or (ii) has not been admitted to the United States under a nonimmigrant visa subject to the exception in 18 U.S.C. 922(y)(2); (g) who has not been discharged from the Armed Forces under dishonorable conditions; (h) who, having been a citizen of the United States, has not renounced his or her (i) who has stated whether he or she has ever suffered any citizenship; mental illness; (j) who has not been involuntarily committed to a facility under the jurisdiction of an office of the department of mental hygiene pursuant to article nine or fifteen of the mental hygiene law, article seven hundred thirty or section 330.20 of the criminal procedure law or substantially similar laws of any other state, section four hundred two or five hundred eight of the correction law, section 322.2 or 353.4 of the family court act, has not been civilly confined in a secure treatment facility pursuant to article ten of the mental hygiene law, or has not been the subject of a report made pursuant to section 9.46 of the mental hygiene law; (k) who has not had a license revoked or who is not under a suspension or ineligibility order issued pursuant to the provisions of section 530.14 of the criminal procedure law or section eight hundred forty-two-a of the family court act; (1) in the county of Westchester, who has successfully completed a firearms safety course and test as evidenced by a certificate of completion issued in his or her name and endorsed and affirmed under the penalties of perjury by a duly authorized instructor, except that: (i) persons who are honorably discharged from the United States army, navy, marine corps or coast quard, or of the national guard of the state of New York, and produce evidence of official qualification in firearms during the term of service are not required to have completed those hours of a firearms safety course pertaining to the safe use, carrying, possession, mainte-37 nance and storage of a firearm; [and] (ii) persons who were licensed to possess a pistol or revolver prior to the effective date of this paragraph are not required to have completed a firearms safety course and test, provided, however, persons with a license issued under paragraph (f) of subdivision two of this section prior to the effective date of the laws of two thousand twenty-two which amended this paragraph shall be required to complete the training required by subdivision nineteen of this section prior to the recertification of such license; and (iii) persons applying for a license under paragraph (f) of subdivision two of this section on or after the effective date of the chapter of the laws of two thousand twenty-two which amended this paragraph who shall be required to complete the training required under subdivision nineteen of this section for such license; (m) who has not had a guardian appointed for him or her pursuant to any provision of state law, based on a deter-52 mination that as a result of marked subnormal intelligence, illness, incompetency, incapacity, condition or disease, he or she lacks the mental capacity to contract or manage his or her own affairs; [and 55 (n) concerning whom no good cause exists for the denial of the license.] (n) for a license issued under paragraph (f) of subdivision two of this

3

4

5

7

9

10

13

27

28

35

36

37

41

43

44

45

47

48

49

section, that the applicant has not been convicted within five years of the date of the application of any of the following: (i) assault in the third degree, as defined in section 120.00 of this chapter; (ii) misdemeanor driving while intoxicated, as defined in section eleven hundred ninety-two of the vehicle and traffic law; or (iii) menacing, as defined in section 120.15 of this chapter; and (o) for a license issued under paragraph (f) of subdivision two of this section, the applicant shall meet in person with the licensing officer for an interview and shall, in addition to any other information or forms required by the license application submit to the licensing officer the following information: (i) names and contact information for the applicant's current spouse, or domestic partner, any other adults residing in the applicant's home, including any adult children of the applicant, and whether or not there are minors residing, full time or part time, in the applicant's home; (ii) names and contact information of no less than four character references who can attest to the applicant's good moral character and that such applicant has not engaged in any acts, or made any statements that suggest they are likely to engage in conduct that would result in harm to themselves or others; (iii) certification of completion of the training required in subdivision nineteen of this section; (iv) a list of former and current social media accounts of the applicant from the past three years to confirm the information regarding the applicants character and conduct as required in subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph; and (v) such other information required by the licensing officer that is reasonably necessary and related to the review of the licensing application.

1-a. No person shall engage in the business of gunsmith or dealer in firearms unless licensed pursuant to this section. An applicant to engage in such business shall also be a citizen of the United States, more than twenty-one years of age and shall be required to maintain a place of business in the city or county where the license is issued. For such business, if the applicant is a firm or partnership, each member thereof shall comply with all of the requirements set forth in this subdivision and if the applicant is a corporation, each officer thereof shall so comply.

[1-a.] 1-b. For purposes of subdivision one of this section, serious offense shall include an offense in any jurisdiction or the former penal law that includes all of the essential elements of a serious offense as defined by subdivision seventeen of section 265.00 of this chapter. Nothing in this subdivision shall preclude the denial of a license based on the commission of, arrest for or conviction of an offense in any other jurisdiction which does not include all of the essential elements of a serious offense.

Types of licenses. A license for gunsmith or dealer in firearms shall be issued to engage in such business. A license for a semiautomatic rifle, other than an assault weapon or disguised gun, shall be issued to purchase or take possession of such a [firearm] semiautomatic rifle when such transfer of ownership occurs on or after the effective date of chapter $\underline{\text{two hundred twelve}}$ of the laws of two thousand twenty-two that amended this subdivision. A license for a pistol or revolver, other than an assault weapon or a disquised gun, shall be issued to (a) have and possess in his dwelling by a householder; (b) have and possess in 53 his place of business by a merchant or storekeeper; (c) have and carry 54 concealed while so employed by a messenger employed by a banking insti-55 tution or express company; (d) have and carry concealed by a justice of 56 the supreme court in the first or second judicial departments, or by a S. 1

7

9

10

11

13

14 15

17

19 20

21

23

29

30

32

33

37

38

41

42

47

49

51

52

judge of the New York city civil court or the New York city criminal have and carry concealed while so employed by a regular employee of an institution of the state, or of any county, city, town or village, under control of a commissioner of correction of the city or any warden, superintendent or head keeper of any state prison, penitentiary, workhouse, county jail or other institution for the detention of persons convicted or accused of crime or held as witnesses in criminal cases, provided that application is made therefor by such commissioner, warden, superintendent or head keeper; (f) have and carry concealed, without regard to employment or place of possession subject to the restrictions of state and federal law, by any person [when proper cause exists for the issuance thereof]; and (g) have, possess, collect and carry antique pistols which are defined as follows: (i) any single shot, muzzle loading pistol with a matchlock, flintlock, percussion cap, or similar type of ignition system manufactured in or before [1898] 1898, which is not designed for using rimfire or conventional centerfire fixed ammunition; and (ii) any replica of any pistol described in clause hereof if such replica[-];

- is not designed or redesigned for using rimfire or conventional centerfire fixed ammunition, or
- (2) uses rimfire or conventional centerfire fixed ammunition which is no longer manufactured in the United States and which is not readily available in the ordinary channels of commercial trade.
- 4. Investigation. Before a license is issued or renewed, there shall be an investigation of all statements required in the application by the duly constituted police authorities of the locality where such application is made, including but not limited to such records as may be accessible to the division of state police or division of criminal justice services pursuant to section 400.02 of this article. For that purpose, the records of the appropriate office of the department of mental hygiene concerning previous or present mental illness of the applicant shall be available for inspection by the investigating officer of the police authority. Where the applicant is domiciled in a foreign state, the investigation shall include inquiry of the foreign state for records concerning the previous or present mental illness of the applicant, and, 36 to the extent necessary for inspection by the investigating officer, the applicant shall execute a waiver of confidentiality of such record in such form as may be required by the foreign state. In order to ascertain any previous criminal record, the investigating officer shall take the fingerprints and physical descriptive data in quadruplicate of each individual by whom the application is signed and verified. Two copies of such fingerprints shall be taken on standard fingerprint cards eight inches square, and one copy may be taken on a card supplied for that purpose by the federal bureau of investigation; provided, however, that in the case of a corporate applicant that has already been issued a dealer in firearms license and seeks to operate a firearm dealership at a second or subsequent location, the original fingerprints on file may be used to ascertain any criminal record in the second or subsequent application unless any of the corporate officers have changed since the prior application, in which case the new corporate officer shall comply with procedures governing an initial application for such license. When completed, one standard card shall be forwarded to and retained by the 53 division of criminal justice services in the executive department, 54 Albany. A search of the files of such division and written notification 55 of the results of the search shall be forwarded to the investigating 56 officer and shall be made without unnecessary delay. Thereafter, such

19

20

29

30 31

32

33

37

38

40

41 42

43 44

45

47

49

50

51

52

division shall notify the licensing officer and the executive department, division of state police, Albany, of any criminal record of the applicant filed therein subsequent to the search of its files. A second or the one supplied by the federal bureau of investistandard card, gation, as the case may be, shall be forwarded to that bureau at Washington with a request that the files of the bureau be searched and 7 notification of the results of the search be made to the investigating police authority. Of the remaining two fingerprint cards, one shall be filed with the executive department, division of state police, Albany, 9 within ten days after issuance of the license, and the other shall 10 remain on file with the investigating police authority. No such finger-11 prints may be inspected by any person other than a peace officer, who is acting pursuant to his or her special duties, or a police officer, 14 except on order of a judge or justice of a court of record either upon 15 notice to the licensee or without notice, as the judge or justice may 16 deem appropriate. Upon completion of the investigation, the police 17 authority shall report the results to the licensing officer without unnecessary delay.

4-a. Appeals from denial of an application, renewal, recertification license revocation. If an application for a license is denied, not renewed, not recertified, or revoked, the licensing officer shall issue a written notice to the applicant setting forth the reasons for such denial. An applicant may, within ninety days of receipt of such notice, request a hearing to appeal the denial to the appeals board created by the division of criminal justice services and the superintendent of state police. An individual may be represented by counsel at any appearance before the appeals board and shall be afforded an opportunity to present additional evidence in support of their application. commissioner of criminal justice services and the superintendent of state police shall promulgate rules and regulations governing such appeals process.

4-b. Processing of license applications. Applications for licenses shall be accepted for processing by the licensing officer at the time of presentment. Except upon written notice to the applicant specifically stating the reasons for any delay, in each case the licensing officer 36 shall act upon any application for a license pursuant to this section within six months of the date of presentment of such an application to the appropriate authority. Such delay may only be for good cause and with respect to the applicant. In acting upon an application, the licensing officer shall either deny the application for reasons specifically and concisely stated in writing or grant the application and issue the license applied for.

[4-b.] 4-c. Westchester county firearms safety course certificate. In the county of Westchester, at the time of application, the licensing officer to which the license application is made shall provide a copy of the safety course booklet to each license applicant. Before such license is issued, such licensing officer shall require that the applicant submit a certificate of successful completion of a firearms safety course and test issued in his or her name and endorsed and affirmed under the penalties of perjury by a duly authorized instructor.

License: expiration, certification and renewal. (a) Any license for gunsmith or dealer in firearms and, in the city of New York, 53 license to carry or possess a pistol or revolver, issued at any time 54 pursuant to this section or prior to the first day of July, 55 hundred sixty-three and not limited to expire on an earlier date fixed 56 in the license, shall, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (d) of S. 1 6

7

9

17

31

37

47

51

this subdivision, expire not more than three years after the date of issuance. In the counties of Nassau, Suffolk and Westchester, license to carry or possess a pistol or revolver, issued at any time pursuant to this section or prior to the first day of July, nineteen hundred sixty-three and not limited to expire on an earlier date in the license, shall expire not more than five years after the date of issuance; however, in the county of Westchester, any such license shall certified prior to the first day of April, two thousand, in accordance with a schedule to be contained in regulations promulgated by the commissioner of the division of criminal justice services, and every such license shall, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (d) of this subdivision, be recertified every five years thereafter. For purposes of this section certification shall mean that the licensee shall provide to the licensing officer the following information only: current name, date of birth, current address, and the make, model, caliber and serial number of all firearms currently possessed. Such certification information shall be filed by the licensing officer in the same 18 manner as an amendment. Elsewhere than in the city of New York and the counties of Nassau, Suffolk and Westchester, any license to carry or 20 possess a pistol or revolver, issued at any time pursuant section or prior to the first day of July, nineteen hundred sixty-three and not previously revoked or cancelled, shall be in force and effect until revoked as herein provided. Any license not previously cancelled or revoked shall remain in full force and effect for thirty days beyond the stated expiration date on such license. Any application to renew a license that has not previously expired, been revoked or cancelled shall thereby extend the term of the license until disposition of the application by the licensing officer. In the case of a license for qunsmith or dealer in firearms, in counties having a population of less than two hundred thousand inhabitants, photographs and fingerprints shall be submitted on original applications and upon renewal thereafter [enly] at three year intervals. Upon satisfactory proof that a currently valid original license has been despoiled, lost or otherwise removed from the possession of the licensee and upon application containing an additional photograph of the licensee, the licensing officer shall issue a duplicate license.

(b) All licensees shall be recertified to the division of state police every five years thereafter, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (d) of this subdivision. Any license issued before the effective date of the chapter of the laws of two thousand thirteen which added this paragraph shall be recertified by the licensee on or before January thirtytwo thousand eighteen, and not less than one year prior to such date, the state police shall send a notice to all license holders who have not recertified by such time. Such recertification shall be in a form as approved by the superintendent of state police, which shall request the license holder's name, date of birth, gender, race, residential address, social security number, firearms possessed by such license holder, email address at the option of the license holder and an affirmation that such license holder is not prohibited from possessing firearms. The form may be in an electronic form if so designated by the superintendent of state police. Failure to recertify shall act as revocation of such license. If the New York state police discover as a result of the recertification process that a licensee failed to provide change of address, the New York state police shall not require the licensing officer to revoke such license.

7 8

10

11

15

16

17

37

38

41

43 44

47

48

49 50

51

52

(c) A license to purchase or take possession of a semiautomatic rifle defined in subdivision two of this section shall be recertified to the applicable licensing officer every five years following the issuance of such license. Failure to renew such a license shall be a violation punishable by a fine not to exceed two hundred fifty dollars, and such failure to renew shall be considered by the licensing officer when reviewing future license applications by the license holder pursuant to this chapter.

(d) Licenses issued under paragraph (f) of subdivision two of this section shall be recertified or renewed in the same form and manner as otherwise required by this subdivision, provided however, that such licenses shall be recertified or renewed every three years following the issuance of such license. For licenses issued prior to the effective date of this paragraph that were issued more than three years prior to such date, or will expire in less than one year from such date shall be recertified or renewed within one year of such date.

11. License: revocation and suspension. (a) The conviction of a licensee anywhere of a felony or serious offense or a licensee at any time becoming ineligible to obtain a license [under this section shall operate as], including engaging in conduct that would have resulted in the denial of a license, under this section shall operate as or be grounds for, a revocation of the license. A license may be revoked or suspended as provided for in section 530.14 of the criminal procedure law or section eight hundred forty-two-a of the family court act. Except for a license issued pursuant to section 400.01 of this article, a license may 26 be revoked and cancelled at any time in the city of New York, and in the counties of Nassau and Suffolk, by the licensing officer, and elsewhere than in the city of New York by any judge or justice of a court of record; a license issued pursuant to section 400.01 of this article may be revoked and cancelled at any time by the licensing officer or any judge or justice of a court of record. A license to engage in the business of dealer may be revoked or suspended for any violation of the provisions of article thirty-nine-BB of the general business law. The official revoking a license shall give written notice thereof without 35 unnecessary delay to the executive department, division of state police, 36 Albany, and shall also notify immediately the duly constituted police The licensing officer shall revoke any authorities of the locality. license issued in which an applicant knowingly made a material false statement on the application. Notice of a revocation under this subdivision shall be issued in writing and shall include the basis for the determination, which shall be supported by a preponderance of the evidence. Such notice shall also include information regarding the ability to appeal such decision in accordance with subdivision four-a of this section.

- (b) Whenever the director of community services or his or her designee makes a report pursuant to section 9.46 of the mental hygiene law, the division of criminal justice services shall convey such information, whenever it determines that the person named in the report possesses a license issued pursuant to this section, to the appropriate licensing official, who shall issue an order suspending or revoking such license.
- (c) In any instance in which a person's license is suspended or revoked under paragraph (a) or (b) of this subdivision, such person shall surrender such license to the appropriate licensing official and 54 any and all firearms, rifles, or shotguns owned or possessed by such 55 person shall be surrendered to an appropriate law enforcement agency as 56 provided in subparagraph (f) of paragraph one of subdivision a of

4 5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

26 27

28

29

30 31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

46

47

54

section 265.20 of this chapter. In the event such license, firearm, shotgun, or rifle is not surrendered, such items shall be removed and declared a nuisance and any police officer or peace officer acting pursuant to his or her special duties is authorized to remove any and all such weapons.

- § 2. Section 837 of the executive law is amended by adding a new subdivision 23 to read as follows:
- 23. (a) In conjunction with the superintendent of the state police, promulgate policies and procedures with regard to standardization of firearms safety training required under subdivision nineteen of section 400.00 of the penal law, which shall include the approval of course materials and promulgation of proficiency standards for live fire training; and
- (b) In conjunction with the superintendent of state police, create an appeals board for the purpose of hearing appeals as provided in subdivision four-a of section 400.00 of the penal law and promulgate rules and regulations governing such appeals.
- § 3. The executive law is amended by adding a new section 235 to read as follows:
- § 235. Firearms safety training, and licensing appeals. 1. The superintendent shall, in conjunction with the commissioner of the division of criminal justice services, promulgate policies and procedures with regard to standardization of firearms safety training required under subdivision nineteen of section 400.00 of the penal law, which shall include the approval of course materials and the promulgation of proficiency standards for live fire training.
- 2. The superintendent, in conjunction with the commissioner of the division of criminal justice services, shall create an appeals board for the purpose of hearing appeals as provided in subdivision four-a of section 400.00 of the penal law and promulgate rules and regulations governing such appeals.
- § 4. The penal law is amended by adding a new section 265.01-e to read as follows:
- § 265.01-e Criminal possession of a firearm, rifle or shotgun in a sensitive location.
- A person is guilty of criminal possession of a firearm, rifle or shotgun in a sensitive location when such person possesses a firearm, rifle or shotgun in or upon a sensitive location, and such person knows or reasonably should know such location is a sensitive location.
 - 2. For the purposes of this section, a sensitive location shall mean:
- (a) any place owned or under the control of federal, state or local government, for the purpose of government administration, including courts;
- (b) any location providing health, behavioral health, or chemical 45 dependance care or services;
 - (c) any place of worship or religious observation;
 - (d) libraries, public playgrounds, public parks, and zoos;
- (e) the location of any program licensed, regulated, certified, fund-48 49 ed, or approved by the office of children and family services that provides services to children, youth, or young adults, any legally 50 exempt childcare provider; a childcare program for which a permit to operate such program has been issued by the department of health and 52 53 mental hygiene pursuant to the health code of the city of New York;
 - (f) nursery schools, preschools, and summer camps;

S. 1 9

(g) the location of any program licensed, regulated, certified, operated, or funded by the office for people with developmental disabilities;

- (h) the location of any program licensed, regulated, certified, operated, or funded by office of addiction services and supports;
- (i) the location of any program licensed, regulated, certified, operated, or funded by the office of mental health;
- (j) the location of any program licensed, regulated, certified, operated, or funded by the office of temporary and disability assistance;
- (k) homeless shelters, runaway homeless youth shelters, family shelters, shelters for adults, domestic violence shelters, and emergency shelters, and residential programs for victims of domestic violence;
- (1) residential settings licensed, certified, regulated, funded, or operated by the department of health;
- (m) in or upon any building or grounds, owned or leased, of any educational institutions, colleges and universities, licensed private career schools, school districts, public schools, private schools licensed under article one hundred one of the education law, charter schools, non-public schools, board of cooperative educational services, special act schools, preschool special education programs, private residential or non-residential schools for the education of students with disabilities, and any state-operated or state-supported schools;
- (n) any place, conveyance, or vehicle used for public transportation or public transit, subway cars, train cars, buses, ferries, railroad, omnibus, marine or aviation transportation; or any facility used for or in connection with service in the transportation of passengers, airports, train stations, subway and rail stations, and bus terminals;
- (o) any establishment issued a license for on-premise consumption pursuant to article four, four-A, five, or six of the alcoholic beverage control law where alcohol is consumed and any establishment licensed under article four of the cannabis law for on-premise consumption:
- (p) any place used for the performance, art entertainment, gaming, or sporting events such as theaters, stadiums, racetracks, museums, amusement parks, performance venues, concerts, exhibits, conference centers, banquet halls, and gaming facilities and video lottery terminal facilities as licensed by the gaming commission;
 - (q) any location being used as a polling place;
- (r) any public sidewalk or other public area restricted from general public access for a limited time or special event that has been issued a permit for such time or event by a governmental entity, or subject to specific, heightened law enforcement protection, or has otherwise had such access restricted by a governmental entity, provided such location is identified as such by clear and conspicuous signage;
- (s) any gathering of individuals to collectively express their constitutional rights to protest or assemble;
- 45 tutional rights to protest or assemble;
 46 (t) the area commonly known as Times Square, as such area is deter47 mined and identified by the city of New York; provided such area shall
 48 be clearly and conspicuously identified with signage.
 - 3. This section shall not apply to:
 - (a) consistent with federal law, law enforcement who qualify to carry under the federal law enforcement officers safety act, 18 U.S.C. 926C;
- 52 (b) persons who are police officers as defined in subdivision thirty-53 four of section 1.20 of the criminal procedure law:
- 54 (c) persons who are designated peace officers by section 2.10 of the criminal procedure law;

S. 1 10

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

17 18

19

20

22

23

24

26

27

28

29

31

33

34

45

49

(d) persons who were employed as police officers as defined in subdivision thirty-four of section 1.20 of the criminal procedure law but are retired;

(e) security guards as defined by and registered under article seven-A of the general business law, who have been granted a special armed registration card, while at the location of their employment and during their work hours as such a security guard;

(f) active-duty military personnel;

- (g) persons licensed under paragraph (c), (d) or (e) of subdivision two of section 400.00 of this chapter while in the course of his or her official duties;
- (h) a government employee under the express written consent of such employee's supervising government entity for the purposes of natural resource protection and management;
- (i) persons lawfully engaged in hunting activity, including hunter education training; or
- (j) persons operating a program in a sensitive location out of their residence, as defined by this section, which is licensed, certified, authorized, or funded by the state or a municipality, so long as such possession is in compliance with any rules or regulations applicable to the operation of such program and use or storage of firearms.

<u>Criminal possession of a firearm, rifle or shotgun in a sensitive location is a class E felony.</u>

- § 5. The penal law is amended by adding a new section 265.01-d to read as follows:
- § 265.01-d Criminal possession of a weapon in a restricted location.
- 1. A person is guilty of criminal possession of a weapon in a restricted location when such person possesses a firearm, rifle, or shotgun and enters into or remains on or in private property where such person knows or reasonably should know that the owner or lessee of such property has not permitted such possession by clear and conspicuous signage indicating that the carrying of firearms, rifles, or shotguns on their property is permitted or has otherwise given express consent.
 - 2. This section shall not apply to:
- 35 (a) police officers as defined in section 1.20 of the criminal proce-36 dure law;
- 37 (b) persons who are designated peace officers as defined in section 38 2.10 of the criminal procedure law;
- 39 (c) persons who were employed as police officers as defined in section 40 1.20 of the criminal procedure law, but are retired;
- (d) security guards as defined by and registered under article seven-A

 of the general business law who has been granted a special armed registration card, while at the location of their employment and during their
 work hours as such a security guard;
 - (e) active-duty military personnel;
- 46 (f) persons licensed under paragraph (c), (d) or (e) of subdivision 47 two of section 400,00 of this chapter while in the course of his or her 48 official duties; or
 - (g) persons lawfully engaged in hunting activity.
- 50 <u>Criminal possession of a weapon in a restricted location is a class E</u> 51 <u>felony.</u>
- 52 § 6. Subdivision a of section 265.20 of the penal law is amended by adding a new paragraph 3-a to read as follows:
- 3-a. Possession of a pistol or revolver by a person undergoing livefire range training pursuant to section 400.00 of this chapter while

4 5

6

7

10

11

33

34

37

38

45

46

47

48

49

50 51

such person is undergoing such training and is supervised by a duly authorized instructor.

- § 7. Section 400.02 of the penal law, as amended by chapter 244 of the laws of 2019, is amended to read as follows:
- § 400.02 Statewide license and record database.
- 1. There shall be a statewide license and record database which shall be created and maintained by the division of state police the cost of which shall not be borne by any municipality. Records assembled or collected for purposes of inclusion in such database shall not be subject to disclosure pursuant to article six of the public officers law. [Records] All records containing granted license applications from all licensing authorities shall be [periodically] monthly checked by the division of criminal justice services in conjunction with the division of state police against criminal conviction, criminal indictment, mental health, extreme risk protection orders, orders of protection, and all 16 other records as are necessary to determine their continued accuracy as well as whether an individual is no longer a valid license holder. The 17 division of criminal justice services shall also check pending applica-19 tions made pursuant to this article against such records to determine 20 whether a license may be granted. All state and local agencies shall 21 cooperate with the division of criminal justice services, as otherwise authorized by law, in making their records available for such checks. The division of criminal justice services, upon determining that an 24 individual is ineligible to possess a license, or is no longer a valid license holder, shall notify the applicable licensing official of such determination and such licensing official shall not issue a license or shall revoke such license and any weapons owned or possessed by such individual shall be removed consistent with the provisions of subdivi-28 sion eleven of section 400.00 of this article. Local and state law 29 enforcement shall have access to such database in the performance of their duties. Records assembled or collected for purposes of inclusion 31 the database established by this section shall be released pursuant to a court order.
- 2. There shall be a statewide license and record database specific for ammunition sales which shall be created and maintained by the division of state police the cost of which shall not be borne by any municipality no later than thirty days upon designating the division of state police as the point of contact to perform both firearm and ammunition background checks under federal and state law. Records assembled or collected for purposes of inclusion in such database shall not be subject to disclosure pursuant to article six of the public officers law. All records containing granted license applications from all licensing authorities shall be monthly checked by the division of criminal justice services in conjunction with the division of state police against criminal conviction, criminal indictments, mental health, extreme risk protection orders, orders of protection, and all other records as are necessary to determine their continued accuracy as well as whether an individual is no longer a valid license holder. The division of criminal justice services shall also check pending applications made pursuant to this article against such records to determine whether a license may be granted. All state and local agencies shall cooperate with the division of criminal justice services, as otherwise authorized 53 by law, in making their records available for such checks. No later than 54 thirty days after the superintendent of the state police certifies that 55 the statewide license and record database established pursuant to this 56 section and the statewide license and record database established for

5

6

7

8

9

13 14

15 16

17

18

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

31

33

34

35

37

39

40

41

47

48

49

50

54

ammunition sales are operational for the purposes of this section, a dealer in firearms licensed pursuant to section 400.00 of this article, a seller of ammunition as defined in subdivision twenty-four of section 265.00 of this chapter shall not transfer any ammunition to any other person who is not a dealer in firearms as defined in subdivision nine of such section 265.00 or a seller of ammunition as defined in subdivision twenty-four of section 265.00 of this chapter, unless:

- (a) before the completion of the transfer, the licensee or seller contacts the statewide license and record database and provides the database with information sufficient to identify such dealer or seller transferee based on information on the transferee's identification document as defined in paragraph (c) of this subdivision, as well as the amount, caliber, manufacturer's name and serial number, if any, of such ammunition;
- (b) the licensee or seller is provided with a unique identification number; and
- (c) the transferor has verified the identity of the transferee by examining a valid state identification document of the transferee issued by the department of motor vehicles or if the transferee is not a resident of the state of New York, a valid identification document issued by the transferee's state or country of residence containing a photograph of the transferee.
- § 8. Subdivisions 2 and 6 of section 400.03 of the penal law, as added by chapter 1 of the laws of 2013, are amended to read as follows:
- Any seller of ammunition or dealer in firearms shall keep [a record book] either an electronic record, or dataset, or an organized collection of structured information, or data, typically stored electronically in a computer system approved as to form by the superintendent of state police. In the record [beek] shall be entered at the time of every transaction involving ammunition the date, name, age, occupation and residence of any person from whom ammunition is received or to whom ammunition is delivered, and the amount, calibre, manufacturer's name and serial number, or if none, any other distinguishing number or identification mark on such ammunition. [The record book shall be maintained on the premises mentioned and described in the license and shall 36 be open at all reasonable hours for inspection by any peace officer, acting pursuant to his or her special duties, or police officer. Any record produced pursuant to this section and any transmission thereof to any government agency shall not be considered a public record for purposes of article six of the public officers law.]
 - 6. If the superintendent of state police certifies that background checks of ammunition purchasers may be conducted through the national instant criminal background check system or through the division of state police once the division has been designated point of contact, use of that system by a dealer or seller shall be sufficient to satisfy subdivisions four and five of this section and such checks shall be conducted through such system, provided that a record of such transaction shall be forwarded to the state police in a form determined by the superintendent.
 - § 9. Section 265.45 of the penal law, as amended by chapter 133 of the laws of 2019, is amended to read as follows:
- § 265.45 Failure to safely store rifles, shotguns, and firearms in the 52 53 first degree.
 - 1. No person who owns or is custodian of a rifle, shotgun or firearm who resides with an individual who: (i) is under [sixteen] eighteen years of age; (ii) such person knows or has reason to know is prohibited

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

21

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41 42

43

46

47

48

49

50

51 52

53

54

from possessing a rifle, shotgun or firearm pursuant to a temporary or final extreme risk protection order issued under article sixty-three-A of the civil practice law and rules or 18 U.S.C. § 922(g) (1), (4), (8) (9); or (iii) such person knows or has reason to know is prohibited from possessing a rifle, shotgun or firearm based on a conviction for a felony or a serious offense, shall store or otherwise leave such rifle, shotgun or firearm out of his or her immediate possession or control without having first securely locked such rifle, shotgun or firearm in an appropriate safe storage depository or rendered it incapable of being fired by use of a gun locking device appropriate to that weapon.

- No person shall store or otherwise leave a rifle, shotgun, or firearm out of his or her immediate possession or control inside a vehicle without first removing the ammunition from and securely locking such rifle, shotgun, or firearm in an appropriate safe storage depository out of sight from outside of the vehicle.
- 3. For purposes of this section "safe storage depository" shall mean a safe or other secure container which, when locked, is incapable of being opened without the key, keypad, combination or other unlocking mechanism and is capable of preventing an unauthorized person from obtaining access to and possession of the weapon contained therein and shall be impact, and tamper resistant. Nothing in this section shall be deemed to affect, impair or supersede any special or local act relating to the safe storage of rifles, shotguns or firearms which impose additional requirements on the owner or custodian of such weapons. For the purposes of subdivision two of this section, a glove compartment or glove box shall not be considered an appropriate safe storage depository.
- 4. It shall not be a violation of this section to allow a person less than [sixteen] eighteen years of age access to: (i) a firearm, rifle or shotgun for lawful use as authorized under paragraph seven or seven-e of subdivision a of section 265.20 of this article, or (ii) a rifle or shotgun for lawful use as authorized by article eleven of the environmental conservation law when such person less than [sixteen] eighteen years of age is the holder of a hunting license or permit and such rifle or shotgun is used in accordance with such law.

Failure to safely store rifles, shotguns, and firearms in the first degree is a class A misdemeanor.

§ 10. The penal law is amended by adding a new section 400.30 to read as follows:

§ 400.30 Application.

Nothing in this article shall be construed to impair or in any way prevent the enactment or application of any local law, code, ordinance, rule or regulation that is more restrictive than any requirement set forth in or established by this article.

- Section 270.20 of the penal law, as added by chapter 56 of the laws of 1984, and subdivision 1 as amended by chapter 317 of the laws of 2001, is amended to read as follows:
- § 270.20 Unlawful wearing of [a] body [vest] armor.
- 1. A person is guilty of the unlawful wearing of [a] body [vest] armor when acting either alone or with one or more other persons he commits any violent felony offense defined in section 70.02 while possessing a firearm, rifle or shotgun and in the course of and in furtherance of such crime he or she wears [a] body [vest] armor.
- For the purposes of this section [a] "body [vest] armor" means [a 55 bullet-resistant soft body armor providing, as a minimum standard, the 56 level of protection known as threat level I which shall mean at least

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

24

27

30

32

33 34

35

37

38

39

41

43

44

47

48

49 50

seven layers of bullet-resistant material providing protection from three shots of one hundred fifty-eight grain lead ammunition fired from a .38 calibre handgun at a velocity of eight hundred fifty feet per second any product that is a personal protective body covering intended 5 to protect against gunfire, regardless of whether such product is to be worn alone or is sold as a complement to another product or garment.

The unlawful wearing of [a] body [vest] armor is a class E felony.

§ 12. Section 270.21 of the penal law, as added by chapter 210 of the laws of 2022, is amended to read as follows:

§ 270.21 Unlawful purchase of [a] body [vest] armor.

A person is guilty of the unlawful purchase of [a] body [west] armor when, not being engaged or employed in an eligible profession, they knowingly purchase or take possession of [a] body [west] armor, as such term is defined in subdivision two of section 270.20 of this article. This section shall not apply to individuals or entities engaged or 16 employed in eligible professions, which shall include police officers as defined in section 1.20 of the criminal procedure law, peace officers as 18 defined in section 2.10 of the criminal procedure law, persons in military service in the state of New York or military or other service for 20 the United States, and such other professions designated by the department of state in accordance with section one hundred forty-four-a of the executive law.

Unlawful purchase of [a] body [vest] armor is a class A misdemeanor for a first offense and a class E felony for any subsequent offense.

§ 13. Section 270.22 of the penal law, as added by chapter 210 of the laws of 2022, is amended to read as follows:

§ 270.22 Unlawful sale of [a] body [vest] armor.

A person is guilty of the unlawful sale of [a] body [vest] armor when they sell, exchange, give or dispose of [a] body [vest] armor, as such term is defined in subdivision two of section 270.20 of this article, to an individual whom they know or reasonably should have known is not engaged or employed in an eligible profession, as such term is defined in section 270.21 of this article.

[a] body [vest] armor is a class A misdemeanor for Unlawful sale of the first offense and a class E felony for any subsequent offense.

- § 14. Section 396-eee of the general business law, as added by chapter 210 of the laws of 2022, is amended to read as follows:
- § 396-eee. Unlawful sale or delivery of body [vests] armor. person, firm or corporation shall sell or deliver body [wests] armor to any individual or entity not engaged or employed in an eligible profession, and except as provided in subdivision [three] two of this section, no such sale or delivery shall be permitted unless the transferee meets in person with the transferor to accomplish such sale or delivery.
- 2. The provisions of subdivision one of this section regarding in person sale or delivery shall not apply to purchases made by **federal**, state, or local government agencies for the purpose of furnishing such body [vests] armor to employees in eligible professions.
- 3. For the purposes of this section, "body [vest] armor" shall have the same meaning as defined in subdivision two of section 270.20 of the penal law.
- 4. Any person, firm or corporation that violate the provisions of this 51 section shall be guilty of a violation punishable by a fine in an amount 52 53 not to exceed five thousand dollars for the first offense and in an amount not to exceed ten thousand dollars for any subsequent offense.
- § 15. Section 144-a of the executive law, as added by chapter 210 of 56 the laws of 2022, is amended to read as follows:

1

4

5

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18 19

20

21

24 25

26

27

28

29

31

32

33

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

144-a. Eligible professions for the purchase, sale, and use of body [wests] armor. The secretary of state in consultation with the division of criminal justice services, the division of homeland security and emergency services, the department of corrections and community supervision, the division of the state police, and the office of general services shall promulgate rules and regulations to establish criteria for eligible professions requiring the use of [a] body [west] armor, as such term is defined in subdivision two of section 270.20 of the penal law. Such professions shall include those in which the duties may expose the individual to serious physical injury that may be prevented or mitigated by the wearing of [a] body [vest] armor. Such rules and regulations shall also include a process by which an individual or entity may request that the profession in which they engage be added to the list of eligible professions, a process by which the department shall approve such professions, and a process by which individuals and entities may present proof of engagement in eligible professions when purchasing [a] body [vest] armor.

§ 16. The executive law is amended by adding a new section 228 to read as follows:

- 228. National instant criminal background checks. 1. (a) The division is hereby authorized and directed to serve as a state point of contact for implementation of 18 U.S.C. sec. 922 (t), all federal regulations and applicable guidelines adopted pursuant thereto, and the national instant criminal background check system for the purchase of firearms and ammunition.
- (b) Upon receiving a request from a licensed dealer pursuant to section eight hundred ninety-six or eight hundred ninety-eight of the general business law, the division shall initiate a background check by (i) contacting the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) or its successor to initiate a national instant criminal background check, and (ii) consulting the statewide firearms license and records database established pursuant to subdivision three of this section, in order to determine if the purchaser is a person described in sections 400.00 and 400.03 of the penal law, or is prohibited by state or federal law from possessing, receiving, owning, or purchasing a firearm or ammunition.
- 2. (a) The division shall report the name, date of birth and physical description of any person prohibited from possessing a firearm pursuant to 18 U.S.C. sec. 922(g) or (n) to the national instant criminal background check system index, denied persons files.
- (b) Information provided pursuant to this section shall remain privileged and confidential, and shall not be disclosed, except for the purpose of enforcing federal or state law regarding the purchase of firearms or ammunition.
- (c) Any background check conducted by the division, or delegated authority, of any applicant for a permit, firearms identification card license, ammunition sale, or registration, in accordance with the requirements of section 400.00 of the penal law, shall not be considered a public record and shall not be disclosed to any person not authorized by law or this chapter to have access to such background check, including the applicant. Any application for a permit, firearms identification card, ammunition sale, or license, and any document reflecting the issuance or denial of such permit, firearms identification card, or license, and any permit, firearms identification card, license, certification, 55 certificate, form of register, or registration statement, maintained by 56 any state or municipal governmental agency, shall not be considered a

S. 1

public record and shall not be disclosed to any person not authorized by law to have access to such documentation, including the applicant, except on the request of persons acting in their governmental capacities for purposes of the administration of justice.

- 3. The division shall create and maintain a statewide firearms license and records database which shall contain records held by the division and any records that it is authorized to request from the division of criminal justice services, office of court administration, New York state department of health, New York state office of mental health, and other local entities. Such database shall be used for the certification and recertification of firearm permits under section 400.02 of the penal law, assault weapon registration under subdivision sixteen—a of section 400.00 of the penal law, and ammunition sales under section 400.03 of the penal law. Such database shall also be used to initiate a national instant criminal background check pursuant to subdivision one of this section upon request from a licensed dealer. The division may create and maintain additional databases as needed to complete background checks pursuant to the requirements of this section.
- 4. The superintendent shall promulgate a plan to coordinate background checks for firearm and ammunition purchases pursuant to this section and to require any person, firm or corporation that sells, delivers or otherwise transfers any firearm or ammunition to submit a request to the division in order to complete the background checks in compliance with federal and state law, including the National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS), in New York state. Such plan shall include, but shall not be limited to, the following features:
- (a) The creation of a centralized bureau within the division to receive and process all background check requests, which shall include a contact center unit and an appeals unit. Staff may include but is not limited to: bureau chief, supervisors, managers, different levels of administrative analysts, appeals specialists and administrative personnel. The division shall employ and train such personnel to administer the provisions of this section.
- (b) Procedures for carrying out the duties under this section, including hours of operation.
- (c) An automated phone system and web-based application system, including a toll-free telephone number and/or web-based application option for any licensed dealer requesting a background check in order to sell, deliver or otherwise transfer a firearm which shall be operational every day that the bureau is open for business for the purpose of responding to requests in accordance with this section.
- 5. (a) Each licensed dealer that submits a request for a national instant criminal background check pursuant to this section shall pay a fee imposed by the bureau for performing such background check. Such fee shall be allocated to the background check fund established pursuant to section ninety-nine-pp of the state finance law. The amount of the fee shall not exceed the total amount of direct and indirect costs incurred by the bureau in performing such background check.
- 48 by the bureau in performing such background check.
 49 (b) The bureau shall transmit all moneys collected pursuant to this
 50 paragraph to the state comptroller, who shall credit the same to the
 51 background check fund.
- 6. On January fifteenth of each calendar year, the bureau shall submit a report to the governor, the temporary president of the senate, and the speaker of the assembly concerning:

the number of employees used by the bureau in the preceding year for the purpose of performing background checks pursuant to this section;

b. the number of background check requests received and processed during the preceding calendar year, including the number of "proceed" responses and the number and reasons for denials;

c. the calculations used to determine the amount of the fee imposed

pursuant to this paragraph.

1

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

21

22

27

28 29

30

31

32

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

- 7. Within sixty days of the effective date of this section, the superintendent shall notify each licensed dealer holding a permit to sell firearms of the requirement to submit a request to the division to initiate a background check pursuant to this section as well as the following means to be used to apply for background checks:
- i. any person, firm or corporation that sells, delivers or otherwise transfers firearms shall obtain a completed ATF 4473 form from the potential buyer or transferee including name, date of birth, gender, race, social security number, or other identification numbers of such potential buyer or transferee and shall have inspected proper identification including an identification containing a photograph of the potential buyer or transferee.
- ii. it shall be unlawful for any person, in connection with the sale, acquisition or attempted acquisition of a firearm from any transferor, to willfully make any false, fictitious oral or written statement or to furnish or exhibit any false, fictitious, or misrepresented identification that is intended or likely to deceive such transferor with respect to any fact material to the lawfulness of the sale or other disposition of such firearm under federal or state law. Any person who violates the provisions of this subparagraph shall be guilty of a class A misdemeanor.
- 8. Any potential buyer or transferee shall have thirty days to appeal the denial of a background check, using a form established by the superintendent. Upon receipt of an appeal, the division shall provide such applicant a reason for a denial within thirty days. Upon receipt of the reason for denial, the appellant may appeal to the attorney general.
- § 17. Subdivision 2 of section 898 of the general business law, as added by chapter 129 of the laws of 2019, is amended to read as follows:
- 2. Before any sale, exchange or disposal pursuant to this article, a national instant criminal background check must be completed by a dealer who [consents] shall submit a request to the division of state police pursuant to section two hundred twenty-eight of the executive law to conduct such check[, and upon completion of such background check, shall complete a document, the form of which shall be approved by the superintendent of state police, that identifies and confirms that such check was performed]. Before a dealer who [eensents] has submitted a request to the division of state police to conduct a national instant criminal background check delivers a firearm, rifle or shotgun to any person, either (a) NICS shall have issued a "proceed" response [to the dealer], or (b) thirty calendar days shall have elapsed since the date the dealer [contacted] submitted a request to the division of state police to contact the NICS to initiate a national instant criminal background check and NICS has not notified the [dealer] division of state police that the transfer of the firearm, rifle or shotgun to such person should 53 be denied.
- Paragraph (c) of subdivision 1 of section 896 of the general 54 18. 55 business law, as added by chapter 189 of the laws of 2000, is amended to 56 read as follows:

S. 1 18

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

37 38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

47

48

49 50

51

52

53

(c) coordinate with the division of state police to provide access at the gun show to [a firearm dealer licensed under federal law who is authorized to] perform a national instant criminal background check [where the seller or transferor of a firearm, rifle or shotgun is not authorized to conduct such a check by (i) requiring firearm exhibitors who are firearm dealers licensed under federal law and who are authorized to conduct a national instant criminal background check to provide such a check at cost or (ii) designating a specific location at the gun show where a firearm dealer licensed under federal law who is authorized to conduct a national instant criminal background check will be present to perform such a check at cost] prior to any firearm sale or transfer. Any firearm dealer licensed under federal law who [performs] submits a request to the division of state police to perform a national instant criminal background check pursuant to this paragraph shall provide the seller or transferor of the firearm, rifle or shotgun with a copy of the United States Department of Treasury, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms Form ATF F 4473 and such dealer shall maintain such form and make such form available for inspection by law enforcement agencies for a period of ten years thereafter.

§ 19. Subdivision 6 of section 400.03 of the penal law, as added by

chapter 1 of the laws of 2013, is amended to read as follows:

6. If the superintendent of state police certifies that background checks of ammunition purchasers may be conducted through the national instant criminal background check system, [use of that system by] a dealer or seller shall contact the division of state police to conduct such check which shall be sufficient to satisfy subdivisions four and five of this section [and such checks shall be conducted through such system, provided that a record of such transaction shall be forwarded to the state police in a form determined by the superintendent].

§ 20. The penal law is amended by adding a new section 400.06 to read as follows:

§ 400.06 National instant criminal background checks.

- 1. Any dealer in firearms that sells, delivers or otherwise transfers any firearm shall contact the division of state police to conduct a national instant criminal background check pursuant to section two hundred twenty-eight of the executive law.
- 2. Failure to comply with the requirements of this section is a class A misdemeanor.
- § 21. The state finance law is amended by adding a new section 99-pp to read as follows:
- § 99-pp. Background check fund. 1. There is hereby established in the joint custody of the state comptroller and commissioner of taxation and finance a special fund to be known as the "background check fund".
- 2. Such fund shall consist of all revenues received by the comptroller, pursuant to the provisions of section two hundred twenty-eight of the executive law and all other moneys appropriated thereto from any other fund or source pursuant to law. Nothing contained in this section shall prevent the state from receiving grants, gifts or bequests for the purposes of the fund as defined in this section and depositing them into the fund according to law.
- 3. The moneys of the background check fund, following appropriation by the legislature, shall be allocated for the direct costs associated with performing background checks pursuant to section two hundred twenty-eight of the executive law.
- 55 <u>4. The state comptroller may invest any moneys in the background check</u> 56 <u>fund not expended for the purpose of this section as provided by law.</u>

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

28

29

30

33

38

39

40 41

42

43

47

48

49

51

The state comptroller shall credit any interest and income derived from the deposit and investment of moneys in the background check fund to the background check fund.

5. (a) Any unexpended and unencumbered moneys remaining in the background check fund at the end of a fiscal year shall remain in the back-

ground check fund and shall not be credited to any other fund.

(b) To the extent practicable, any such remaining funds shall be used to reduce the amount of the fee described in subdivision two of section two hundred twenty-eight of the executive law.

§ 22. Subdivision 19 of section 265.00 of the penal law, as amended by

chapter 150 of the laws of 2020, is amended to read as follows:

- 19. "Duly authorized instructor" means (a) a duly commissioned officer of the United States army, navy, marine corps or coast guard, or of the national guard of the state of New York; or (b) a duly qualified adult citizen of the United States who has been granted a certificate as an instructor in small arms practice issued by the United States army, navy or marine corps, or by the adjutant general of this state, or by the 18 division of criminal justice services, or by the national rifle association of America, a not-for-profit corporation duly organized under the laws of this state; (c) by a person duly qualified and designated by the department of environmental conservation [under paragraph e of subdivision three of section 11-0713 of the environmental conservation law] as its agent in the giving of instruction and the making of certifications of qualification in responsible hunting practices; or (d) a New York state 4-H certified shooting sports instructor.
 - § 23. Subdivision 18 of section 400.00 of the penal law, as added by chapter 135 of the laws of 2019, is amended and a new subdivision 19 is added to read as follows:
 - Upon the issuance of a license, the licensing officer 18. Notice. shall issue therewith, and such licensee shall attest to the receipt of, the following [notice] information and notifications: (a) the grounds for which the license issued may be revoked, which shall include but not be limited to the areas and locations for which the licenses issued under paragraph (f) of subdivision two of this section prohibits the possession of firearms, rifles, and shotguns, and that a conviction under sections 265.01-d and 265.01-e of this chapter are felonies for which licensure will be revoked;
 - (b) a notification regarding the requirements for safe storage which shall be in conspicuous and legible twenty-four point type on eight and one-half inches by eleven inches paper stating in bold print the follow-

WARNING: RESPONSIBLE FIREARM STORAGE IS THE LAW IN NEW YORK STATE. WHEN STORED IN A HOME FIREARMS, RIFLES, OR SHOTGUNS MUST EITHER BE STORED WITH A GUN LOCKING DEVICE OR IN A SAFE STORAGE DEPOSITORY OR NOT BE LEFT OUTSIDE THE IMMEDIATE POSSESSION AND CONTROL OF THE OWNER OR OTHER LAWFUL POSSESSOR IF A CHILD UNDER THE AGE OF EIGHTEEN RESIDES IN THE HOME OR IS PRESENT, OR IF THE OWNER OR POSSESSOR RESIDES PERSON PROHIBITED FROM POSSESSING A FIREARM UNDER STATE OR FEDERAL LAW. FIREARMS SHOULD BE STORED [UNLOADED AND LOCKED] BY REMOVING THE AMMUNI-TION FROM AND SECURELY LOCKING SUCH FIREARM IN A LOCATION SEPARATE FROM AMMUNITION. LEAVING FIREARMS ACCESSIBLE TO A CHILD OR OTHER PROHIBITED PERSON MAY SUBJECT YOU TO IMPRISONMENT, FINE, OR BOTH. WHEN STORED IN A 53 VEHICLE OUTSIDE THE OWNER'S IMMEDIATE POSSESSION OR CONTROL, FIREARMS, 54 RIFLES, AND SHOTGUNS MUST BE STORED IN AN APPROPRIATE SAFE STORAGE 55 DEPOSITORY AND OUT OF SIGHT FROM OUTSIDE OF THE VEHICLE.

1

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

(c) any other information necessary to ensure such licensee is aware of their responsibilities as a license holder.

Nothing in this subdivision shall be deemed to affect, impair or supersede any special or local law relating to providing notice regarding the safe storage of rifles, shotguns or firearms.

- 19. Prior to the issuance or renewal of a license under paragraph (f) of subdivision two of this section, issued or renewed on or after the effective date of this subdivision, an applicant shall complete an in-person live firearms safety course conducted by a duly authorized instructor with curriculum approved by the division of criminal justice services and the superintendent of state police, and meeting the following requirements: (a) a minimum of sixteen hours of in-person live curriculum approved by the division of criminal justice services and the superintendent of state police, conducted by a duly authorized instructor approved by the division of criminal justice services, and shall include but not be limited to the following topics: (i) general firearm safety; (ii) safe storage requirements and general secure storage best practices; (iii) state and federal gun laws; (iv) situational awareness; conflict de-escalation; (vi) best practices when encountering law enforcement; (vii) the statutorily defined sensitive places in subdivision two of section 265.01-e of this chapter and the restrictions on possession on restricted places under section 265.01-d of this chapter; (viii) conflict management; (ix) use of deadly force; (x) suicide prevention; and (xi) the basic principles of marksmanship; and (b) a minimum of two hours of a live-fire range training course. The applicant shall be required to demonstrate proficiency by scoring a minimum of eighty percent correct answers on a written test for the curriculum under paragraph (a) of this subdivision and the proficiency level determined by the rules and regulations promulgated by the division of criminal justice services and the superintendent of state police for the live-fire range training under paragraph (b) of this subdivision. Upon demonstration of such proficiency, a certificate of completion shall be issued to such applicant in the applicant's name and endorsed and affirmed under the penalties of perjury by such duly authorized instructor. An applicant required to complete the training required herein prior to renewal of a license issued prior to the effective date of this subdivision shall only be required to complete such training for the first renewal of such license after such effective date.
- § 24. Subdivisions 11 and 12 of section 265.00 of the penal law are amended to read as follows:
- 11. "Rifle" means a weapon designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to be fired from the shoulder and designed or redesigned and made or remade to use the energy of the explosive [in a fixed metallic cartridge] to fire only a single projectile through a rifled bore for each single pull of the trigger using either: (a) fixed metallic cartridge; or (b) each projectile and explosive charge are loaded individually for each shot discharged. In addition to common, modern usage, rifles include those using obsolete ammunition not commonly available in commercial trade, or that load through the muzzle and fire a single projectile with each discharge, or loading, including muzzle loading rifles, flintlock rifles, and black powder rifles.
- 12. "Shotgun" means a weapon designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to be fired from the shoulder and designed or redesigned and made or remade to use the energy of the explosive [in a fixed shotqun shell] to fire through a smooth or rifled bore either a number of 56 ball shot or a single projectile for each single pull of the trigger

7

8

9

17

18

19

27

32

33

37

38

using either: (a) a fixed shotgun shell; or (b) a projectile or number of ball shot and explosive charge are loaded individually for each shot discharged. In addition to common, modern usage, shotguns include those using obsolete ammunition not commonly available in commercial trade, or that load through the muzzle and fires ball shot with each discharge, or loading, including muzzle loading shotguns, flintlock shotguns, and black powder shotguns.

- § 25. Severability. If any clause, sentence, paragraph or section of this act shall be adjudged by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, the judgment shall not affect, impair or invalidate the remainder thereof, but shall be confined in its operation to the clause, sentence, paragraph or section thereof directly involved in the controversy in which the judgment shall have been rendered.
- 13 § 26. This act shall take effect on the first of September next 14 succeeding the date on which it shall have become a law; provided, 15 16 however:
 - (a) the amendments to subdivision 1 and subdivision 4-b of section 400.00 of the penal law made by section one of this act shall apply only to licenses for which an application is made on or after the effective date of this act;
 - (b) if chapter 208 of the laws of 2022 shall not have taken effect on or before such date then the amendments made to paragraph (j) of subdivision one of section 400.00 of the penal law made by section one of this act shall take effect on the same date and in the same manner as such chapter of the laws of 2022, takes effect;
- (c) the amendments to sections 270.20, 270.21 and 270.22 of the penal law made by sections eleven, twelve and thirteen of this act, the amend-28 ments to section 396-eee of the general business law as amended by section fourteen of this act, and the amendments to section 144-a of the executive law as amended by section fifteen of this act, shall take effect on the same date and in the same manner as chapter 210 of the laws of 2022, takes effect;
 - (d) if chapter 207 of the laws of 2022 shall not have taken effect on or before such date then the amendments to subdivision 11 of section 400.00 of the penal law made by section one of this act shall take effect on the same date and in the same manner as such chapter of the laws of 2022, takes effect;
- (e) if chapter 212 of the laws of 2022 shall not have taken effect on before such date then the amendments to subdivision 2 of section 400.00 of the penal law made by section one of this act shall take effect on the same date and in the same manner as such chapter of the 41 laws of 2022, takes effect;
- (f) sections sixteen, seventeen, eighteen, nineteen, twenty, twenty-44 one and twenty-two shall take effect July 15, 2023; and
- (g) subdivision 4-a of section 400.00 of the penal law, as amended by 45 section one of this act, shall take effect April 1, 2023.